

# The Economics of Software Quality



Capers Jones  
Olivier Bonsignour

---

Foreword by Thaddeus Arroyo  
Chief Information Officer, AT&T Services, Inc.

---

## Praise for *The Economics of Software Quality*

“This book provides the best treatment on the subject of economics of software quality that I’ve seen. Peppared with valuable industry data, in-depth analysis, empirical methods for quality improvement, and economic analysis of quality, this book is a must-read for anyone who is interested in this subject. With the many real-life and up-to-date examples and stories linking software quality to daily-life activities, readers will find this book an enjoyable read.”

—*Stephen H. Kan, Senior Technical Staff Member and Program Manager, Software Quality—IBM Systems and Technology Group, and author of Metrics and Models in Software Quality Engineering*

“Finally, a book that defines the cost and economics of software quality and their relationship to business value. Facts such as the inability of testing alone to produce quality software, the value of engineering-in quality, and the positive ROI are illustrated in compelling ways. Additionally, this book is a must-read for understanding, managing, and eliminating ‘technical debt’ from software systems.”

—*Dan Galorath, CEO, Galorath Incorporated & SEER by Galorath*

“Congrats to Capers and Olivier as they release their relevant, extensive, and timely research on the costs of defects in today’s software industry. The authors don’t stop with the causes of defects; they explore injection points, removal, and prevention approaches to avoid the ‘technical mortgage’ associated with defective software products. In today’s ‘quick-to-market’ world, an emphasis on strengthening the *engineering* in software engineering is refreshing. If you’re a software developer, manager, student, or user, this book will challenge your perspective on software quality. Many thanks!”

—*Joe Schofield, Sandia National Laboratories; Vice President, IFPUG; CQA, CFPS, CSMS, LSS BB, SEI-certified instructor*

“Whether consulting, working on projects, or teaching, whenever I need credible, detailed, relevant metrics and insights into the current capabilities and performance of the software engineering profession, I always turn to Capers Jones’s work first. In this important new book, he and Olivier Bonsignour make

the hard-headed, bottom-line, economic case, with facts and data, about why software quality is so important. I know I'll turn to this excellent reference again and again."

—Rex Black, *President, RBCS (www.rbc-us.com), and author of seven books on software quality and testing, including Managing the Testing Process, Third Edition*

"This masterpiece of a book will empower those who invest in software—and the businesses and products that depend on it—to do so wisely. It is a groundbreaking work that rigorously applies principles of finance, economics, management, quality, and productivity to scrutinize holistically the value propositions and myths underlying the vast sums invested in software. A must-read if you want to get your money's worth from your software investments."

—Leon A. Kappelman, *Professor of Information Systems, College of Business, University of North Texas*

"Capers Jones is the foremost leader in the software industry today for software metrics. *The Economics of Software Quality* is a comprehensive, data-rich study of challenges of quality software across the many application domains. It is an essential read for software quality professionals who wish to better understand the challenges they face and the cost and effectiveness of potential solutions. It is clear that much research and thought has been put into this."

—Maysa-Maria Peterson Lach, *Senior Principal Software Engineer, Raytheon Missile Systems*

"In no other walk of life do we resist the necessity and validity of precise, rigorous measurement, as software practitioners have so vigorously resisted for more than fifty years. Capers Jones took up the challenge of bringing sanity and predictability to software production more than three decades ago, and now with Olivier Bonsignour, he brings forth his latest invaluable expression of confidence in applying standard engineering and economic discipline to what too often remains the 'Wild, Wild West' of software development."

—Douglas Brindley, *President & CEO, Software Productivity Research, LLC*

# The Economics of Software Quality

*This page intentionally left blank*



# The Economics of Software Quality

Capers Jones  
Olivier Bonsignour

◆ Addison-Wesley

Upper Saddle River, NJ • Boston • Indianapolis • San Francisco  
New York • Toronto • Montreal • London • Munich • Paris • Madrid  
Capetown • Sydney • Tokyo • Singapore • Mexico City

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed with initial capital letters or in all capitals.

The authors and publisher have taken care in the preparation of this book, but make no expressed or implied warranty of any kind and assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. No liability is assumed for incidental or consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained herein.

The publisher offers excellent discounts on this book when ordered in quantity for bulk purchases or special sales, which may include electronic versions and/or custom covers and content particular to your business, training goals, marketing focus, and branding interests. For more information, please contact:

U.S. Corporate and Government Sales  
(800) 382-3419  
corpsales@pearsontechgroup.com

For sales outside the United States, please contact:

International Sales  
international@pearson.com

Visit us on the Web: [informit.com/aw](http://informit.com/aw)

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Jones, Capers.

The economics of software quality / Capers Jones, Olivier Bonsignour  
p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-13-258220-9 (hardcover: alk. Paper) 1. Computer software—Quality control—Economic aspects. 2. Software maintenance—Economic aspects. 3. Computer software—Validation. 4. Computer software—verification. I. Subramanyam, Jitendra. II. Title.

QA76.76.Q35J674 2012  
005.1'4—dc23

20110145858

Copyright © 2012 Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission must be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. For information regarding permissions, write to:

Pearson Education, Inc.  
Rights and Contracts Department  
501 Boylston Street, Suite 900  
Boston, MA 02116  
Fax: (617) 671-3447

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-258220-9

ISBN-10: 0-13-258220-1

Text printed in the United States on recycled paper at Courier in Westford, Massachusetts.  
First printing, July 2011

**Publisher**

Paul Boger

**Acquisitions Editor**

Bernard Goodwin

**Managing Editor**

John Fuller

**Full-Service Production  
Manager**

Julie B. Nahil

**Copy Editor**

Christal White

**Indexer**

Infodex Indexing  
Services

**Proofreader**

Christine Clark

**Editorial Assistant**

Michelle Housley

**Cover Designer**

Nicolas Herlem

**Compositor**

LaurelTech

*This book is dedicated to Watts Humphrey and Allan Albrecht.*

*Watts was a tireless champion of software quality.*

*Allan developed the most effective metric for studying  
software quality economics.*

*This page intentionally left blank*

# Contents

Foreword .....	xix
Preface .....	xxi
Acknowledgments .....	xxvii
About the Authors.....	xxxix
<b>Chapter 1: Defining Software Quality and Economic Value.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction .....	1
Why Is Software Quality Important? .....	1
Defining Software Quality .....	8
Defining Economic Value and	
Defining the Value of Software Quality .....	17
The Economic Value of Software and Quality	
to Enterprises that Build Internal Software	
for Their Own Use.....	19
The Economic Value of Software and	
Quality to Internal Software Users.....	22
The Economic Value of Software and	
Quality to Commercial Software Vendors .....	24
The Economic Value of Software and	
Quality to COTS Users and Customers .....	26
The Economic Value of Software and	
Quality to Embedded Software Companies .....	28
The Economic Value of Software and	
Quality to Embedded Equipment Users .....	30
The Economic Value of Software and Software	
Quality to Other Business Sectors .....	32
Multiple Roles Occurring Simultaneously .....	33
Summary and Conclusions .....	33
<b>Chapter 2: Estimating and Measuring Software Quality.....</b>	<b>35</b>
Introduction .....	35
Using Function Point Metrics for Defect Potentials .....	39
Software Defect Potentials .....	39

The Special Case of Software Requirements . . . . .	45
The Origins of Software Requirements . . . . .	50
The Size, Structure, and Completeness of Software Requirements . . . . .	52
Minimizing Software Requirements Defects . . . . .	55
Conclusions about Software Requirements Defects . . . . .	64
The Special Case of Coding Defects . . . . .	65
Estimating Software Defect Prevention . . . . .	71
Estimating Software Defect Detection and Defect Removal . . . . .	74
Measuring Application Structural Quality . . . . .	77
Measuring Reliability . . . . .	78
Measuring Performance Efficiency . . . . .	79
Measuring Security . . . . .	80
Measuring Maintainability . . . . .	81
Measuring Size . . . . .	83
Summary of Application Structural Quality Measurement Attributes . . . . .	83
Examples of Structural Quality Assessments . . . . .	88
Bypassing the Architecture . . . . .	88
Failure to Control Processing Volumes . . . . .	90
Application Resource Imbalances . . . . .	91
Security Weaknesses . . . . .	92
Lack of Defensive Mechanisms . . . . .	93
Desiderata for Systems Evaluating Structural Quality . . . . .	94
Three Problems That Distort Software Economic Analysis . . . . .	95
Leakage from Software Historical Data . . . . .	97
Economic Problems with Lines of Code (LOC) Metrics . . . . .	105
Economic Problems with Cost-per-Defect Metrics . . . . .	110
Case A: Poor Quality . . . . .	111
Case B: Good Quality . . . . .	111
Case C: Zero Defects . . . . .	114
Useful Rules of Thumb for Predicting Software Defect Potentials . . . . .	115
Summary and Conclusions on Software Quality Estimation and Measurement . . . . .	117

<b>Chapter 3: Software Defect Prevention</b> . . . . .	<b>119</b>
Introduction . . . . .	119
The Early History of Defect Prevention	
Studies in the 1970s at IBM . . . . .	120
Synergistic Combinations of Defect	
Prevention Methods . . . . .	125
Defect Potentials and Defect Origins . . . . .	127
Defect Prevention, Patterns, and Certified	
Reusable Materials . . . . .	132
Software Defect Prevention and Application Size . . . . .	133
Analysis of Defect Prevention Results . . . . .	135
Agile Embedded Users . . . . .	136
Automated Quality Predictions . . . . .	136
Benchmarks of Software Quality Data . . . . .	137
Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI) . . . . .	138
Certification Programs . . . . .	140
Cost-per-Defect Measures . . . . .	142
Cost of Quality (COQ) . . . . .	146
Cyclomatic Complexity Measures (and Related	
Complexity Measures) . . . . .	149
Defect Measurements and Defect Tracking . . . . .	156
Formal Inspections . . . . .	159
Function Point Quality Measures . . . . .	164
ISO Quality Standards, IEEE Quality	
Standards, and Other Industry Standards . . . . .	171
Quality Function Deployment (QFD) . . . . .	174
Risk Analysis . . . . .	177
Six Sigma . . . . .	184
Static Analysis . . . . .	185
Summary and Conclusions of Software Defect Prevention . . . . .	188
<b>Chapter 4: Pretest Defect Removal</b> . . . . .	<b>191</b>
Introduction . . . . .	191
Small Project Pretest Defect Removal . . . . .	196
Large System Pretest Defect Removal . . . . .	201
Analysis of Pretest Defect Removal Activities . . . . .	208
Personal Desk Checking . . . . .	208
Informal Peer Reviews . . . . .	209

Automated Text Checking for Documents . . . . .	211
Proofs of Correctness . . . . .	220
Scrum Sessions . . . . .	222
Poka Yoke . . . . .	224
Kaizen . . . . .	226
Pair Programming . . . . .	231
Client Reviews of Specifications . . . . .	235
Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) . . . . .	237
Software Quality Assurance (SQA) Reviews . . . . .	239
Phase Reviews . . . . .	246
Inspections (Requirements, Architecture, Design, Code, and Other Deliverables) . . . . .	249
User Documentation Editing and Proofreading . . . . .	265
Automated Static Analysis of Source Code . . . . .	267
Summary and Conclusions about Pretest Defect Removal . . . . .	277
<b>Chapter 5: Software Testing . . . . .</b>	<b>279</b>
Introduction . . . . .	279
Black Box and White Box Testing . . . . .	291
Functional and Nonfunctional Testing . . . . .	293
Automated and Manual Testing . . . . .	293
Discussion of the General Forms of Software Testing . . . . .	294
Subroutine Testing . . . . .	294
PSP/TSP Unit Testing . . . . .	295
Extreme Programming (XP) Unit Testing . . . . .	296
Unit Testing . . . . .	296
New Function Testing . . . . .	297
Regression Testing . . . . .	299
Integration Testing . . . . .	300
System Testing . . . . .	301
The Specialized Forms of Software Testing . . . . .	303
Stress or Capacity Testing . . . . .	303
Performance Testing . . . . .	304
Viral Protection Testing . . . . .	304
Penetration Testing . . . . .	308
Security Testing . . . . .	309
Platform Testing . . . . .	310
Supply Chain Testing . . . . .	311
Clean Room Testing . . . . .	311

Litigation Testing . . . . .	312
Cloud Testing . . . . .	313
Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) Testing . . . . .	313
Independent Testing . . . . .	314
Nationalization Testing . . . . .	315
Case Study Testing . . . . .	316
The Forms of Testing Involving Users or Clients . . . . .	316
Agile Testing . . . . .	317
Usability Testing . . . . .	317
Field Beta Testing . . . . .	318
Lab Testing . . . . .	319
Customer Acceptance Testing . . . . .	320
Test Planning . . . . .	320
Test Case Design Methods . . . . .	321
Errors or Bugs in Test Cases . . . . .	323
Numbers of Testing Stages for Software Projects . . . . .	324
Testing Pattern Variations by Industry and Type of Software . . . . .	325
Testing Pattern Variations by Size of Application . . . . .	329
Testing Stages Noted in Lawsuits Alleging Poor Quality . . . . .	331
Using Function Points to Estimate Test Case Volumes . . . . .	332
Using Function Points to Estimate the Numbers of Test Personnel . . . . .	335
Using Function Points to Estimate Testing Effort and Costs . . . . .	337
Testing by Developers or by Professional Test Personnel . . . . .	342
Summary and Conclusions on Software Testing . . . . .	344
<b>Chapter 6: Post-Release Defect Removal . . . . .</b>	<b>347</b>
Introduction . . . . .	347
Post-Release Defect Severity Levels . . . . .	349
Severity Levels from a Structural Quality Perspective . . . . .	351
Maintainability of Software . . . . .	358
Defect Discovery Rates by Software Application Users . . . . .	362
Invalid Defect Reports . . . . .	363

Abeyant Defects That Occur Under	
Unique Conditions . . . . .	365
Duplicate Defects Reported by Many Customers. . . . .	366
First-Year Defect Discovery Rates . . . . .	367
Measuring Defect Detection Efficiency (DDE)	
and Defect Removal Efficiency (DRE) . . . . .	368
Variations in Post-Release Defect Reports . . . . .	370
Variations in Methods of Reporting	
Software Defects. . . . .	374
Who Repairs Defects after They Are Reported?. . . . .	378
Case Study 1: Development Personnel Tasked with	
Maintenance Defect Repairs. . . . .	379
Case Study 2: Maintenance Specialists Handle	
Defect Repairs . . . . .	380
Comparing the Case Studies. . . . .	381
Litigation Due to Poor Quality . . . . .	381
Cost Patterns of Post-Release Defect Repairs. . . . .	384
Software Occupation Groups Involved with	
Defect Repairs . . . . .	385
Examining the Independent Variables of	
Post-Release Defect Repairs . . . . .	392
The Size of the Application in Function Points. . . . .	393
Error-Prone Modules in Software Applications . . . . .	404
User and Industry Costs from Post-Release Defects . . . . .	409
Impact of Security Flaws on Corporations	
and Government Agencies . . . . .	414
Customer Logistics for Defect Reports and	
Repair Installation . . . . .	416
Case Study 1: A Small Application by a	
Small Company . . . . .	417
Case Study 2: A Large Application by a	
Large Company . . . . .	420
Measurement Issues in Maintenance and	
Post-Release Defect Repairs . . . . .	425
Summary and Conclusions on Post-Release Defects . . . . .	431
<b>Chapter 7: Analyzing the Economics of Software Quality. . . . .</b>	<b>433</b>
Introduction . . . . .	433
The Economic Value of Software . . . . .	435

Methods of Measuring Value . . . . .	435
Funding Approval and Application Size . . . . .	443
The Impact of Software Construction Difficulties on Software Quality . . . . .	444
Revenue Generation from Software . . . . .	449
Difference Between Software and Other Industries . . . . .	453
Cost Reduction from Software . . . . .	454
Economic Impact of Low-Quality and High-Quality Software . . . . .	460
Software Development and Maintenance . . . . .	461
Software as a Marketed Commodity . . . . .	462
Software as a Method of Human Effort Reduction . . . . .	463
Software and Innovative New Kinds of Products . . . . .	463
Technical Debt—A Measure of the Effect of Software Quality on Software Costs . . . . .	465
A Framework for Quantifying Business Value . . . . .	470
Moving Beyond Functional Quality . . . . .	476
The Impact of Software Structure on Quality . . . . .	476
The Impact of Staff Training on Quality . . . . .	477
The Impact of Professional Certification on Quality . . . . .	478
The Impact of Technology Investment on Quality . . . . .	479
The Impact of Project Management on Quality . . . . .	480
The Impact of Quality-Control Methodologies and Tools on Quality . . . . .	481
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Schedules . . . . .	484
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Staffing . . . . .	484
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Development Effort . . . . .	486
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Development Productivity Rates . . . . .	486
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Development Costs . . . . .	487
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Development Cost per Function Point . . . . .	489
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Project Cancellation Rates . . . . .	490

The Impact of High and Low Quality on the Timing of Cancelled Projects . . . . .	491
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Cancelled Project Effort . . . . .	492
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Effort Compared to Average Projects . . . . .	492
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Test Stages . . . . .	494
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Testing as a Percent of Development . . . . .	496
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Test Cases per Function Point . . . . .	497
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Numbers of Test Cases Created . . . . .	498
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Test Coverage . . . . .	498
The Impact of Professional Testers on High and Low Quality . . . . .	500
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Defect Potentials . . . . .	501
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Total Software Defects . . . . .	503
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Defect Detection Efficiency (DDE) . . . . .	504
The Impact of High Quality and Low Quality on Defect Removal Efficiency (DRE) . . . . .	504
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Total Defect Removal . . . . .	505
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Defects Delivered to Customers . . . . .	507
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Delivered Defects per Function Point . . . . .	507
Impact of High and Low Quality on Delivered Defect Severity Levels . . . . .	508
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Severe Defects per Function Point . . . . .	509
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Reliability . . . . .	510

The Impact of High and Low Quality on Maintenance and Support . . . . .	511
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Maintenance and Support Costs. . . . .	512
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Maintenance Defect Volumes . . . . .	513
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Enhancements . . . . .	514
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Enhancement Costs . . . . .	515
The Impact of High and Low Software Quality on Maintenance and Enhancement Staffing. . . . .	516
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Total Effort for Five Years . . . . .	517
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) . . . . .	520
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Cost of Quality (COQ) . . . . .	523
The Impact of High and Low Quality on TCO and COQ per Function Point . . . . .	529
The Impact of High and Low Quality on the Useful Life of Applications. . . . .	529
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Software Application Tangible Value . . . . .	535
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Return on Investment (ROI). . . . .	536
The Impact of High and Low Quality on the Costs of Cancelled Projects . . . . .	537
The Impact of High and Low Quality on Cancellation Cost Differentials. . . . .	538
The Distribution of High-, Average-, and Low-Quality Software Projects. . . . .	538
Summary and Conclusions on the Economics of Software Quality . . . . .	541
High-Quality Results for 10,000 Function Points . . . . .	541
Low-Quality Results for 10,000 Function Points. . . . .	542
<b>References and Readings . . . . .</b>	<b>545</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>561</b>

*This page intentionally left blank*

# Foreword

As a major telecommunications company, our business consists of a complex mix of products and services. Some are decades old, and some are only emerging. In just one part of our business, customers now access sophisticated business processes via myriad mobile devices operating on multiple platforms, technologies, and standards. The mobile access revolution is only one example of the continual change we must master. In several of our new markets, some of our competitors were not even on the radar ten years ago.

The IT systems that service our customers have been built over decades of changing regulatory frameworks, intense competition, and M&A activity; these systems provide mission-critical network management, billing, and customer service infrastructure for our existing and emerging products. We simply don't have the luxury of crafting Greenfield solutions in response to pressing business needs.

Despite the complex nature of IT, our shareholders expect nothing less than continuous improvement in service quality with simultaneous cost reductions. This has been the case in our market for quite some time and a major operational focus for my organization. One area on which we have focused in addressing this challenge is measuring software development productivity and quality. As the CIO, I oversee the company's internal information technology organization and infrastructure, as well as all evolving software applications. When you get down to it, the core expertise of our business is encoded in the software that automates our mission-critical processes. It is that software layer of our IT stack that fundamentally drives our time to market, our risk profile, and our cost structure.

We measure software productivity to allocate resources and make informed tradeoffs in our investments. We measure software quality at a structural level, in addition to the functional level through testing, to make the right trade-offs between delivery speed, business risk, and technical debt—the longer-term costs of maintaining and enhancing the delivered solutions.

For several years now, we have been successfully measuring the quality of our development projects and including these metrics in some of our Service Level Agreements. We are now starting to put productivity measurements across our portfolio side-by-side with quality measurements to get a truer picture of where we are trading present delivery agility for future business agility.

*The Economics of Software Quality* is a landmark for three reasons. It is practical, it is data-driven, and it goes beyond the traditional treatments of quality to demonstrate how to manage structural quality—an important element of software quality for our business. Just as we invest in our enterprise architecture to actively manage the evolution of our core application software, we are putting a strong focus on the analysis and measurement of these applications at the structural level. These measures enable my organization to take a proactive stance to building a better future for our business and for me to closely manage the economic fundamentals in meeting and exceeding shareholder expectations.

As we look forward to an exciting period of rapid growth in fixed-line, mobile, data, and on-demand products and services, I can assure you that this is one book my management team and I will keep close at hand.

—*Thaddeus Arroyo*  
*Chief Information Officer, AT&T Services, Inc.*

---

**F. Thaddeus Arroyo**, Chief Information Officer, is responsible for AT&T's information technology. He was appointed to his current position in January 2007, following the close of the merger between AT&T, BellSouth, and Cingular. In his role, he is responsible for directing the company's internal information technology organization and infrastructure, including Internet and intranet capabilities, developing applications systems across the consumer and mobility markets, enterprise business segments, and AT&T's corporate systems. He also oversees AT&T's enterprise data centers.

# Preface

This book is aimed at software managers, executives, and quality assurance personnel who are involved in planning, estimating, executing, and maintaining software. Managers and stakeholders need to understand the economics of software quality when planning and developing new applications and enhancing or maintaining existing ones.

The goal of this book is to quantify the factors that influence software quality and provide readers with enough information for them to predict and measure quality levels of their projects and applications.

To serve this goal, we consolidate an expansive body of software quality data—data on software structural quality, software assurance processes and techniques, and the marginal costs and benefits of improving software quality. The book provides quantitative data on how high and low quality affect software project schedules, staffing, development costs, and maintenance costs. This information should enable software managers to set and track progress toward quality targets and to make the right trade-offs between speed to market and business risk.

We quantify the positive economic value of software quality and the high costs of poor software quality using software quality data from large organizations in the private and public sectors. This is not a “how to do it” book—there are many good how-to books on processes and techniques for testing, inspections, static analysis, and other quality topics. We hope to have added a substantial amount of software quality data from real-world applications to complement those how-to books and enable IT managers to quantify the relative efficacy and economic value of these techniques.

In small projects, individual human skills and experience play a major role in successful outcomes. Quality is important, but individual skill tends to be the dominant driver of high quality.

But as projects grow larger, with development teams from 20 on up to more than 1,000 personnel, individual skills tend to regress to the mean. Quality becomes progressively more important because, historically, the costs of finding and fixing bugs have been the largest known expense for large software applications. This is true of both new development as well as enhancement and maintenance.

Most discussions of software quality focus almost exclusively on *functional* quality. In this book, we expand our treatment beyond functional quality to

cover *nonfunctional* and *structural* quality. Measuring structural quality requires going beyond the quality of individual components to the quality of the application as a whole. We show how to clearly define and repeatably measure nonfunctional and structural quality.

Reliable measurements of all three kinds of quality—structural, nonfunctional, and functional—are essential for a complete treatment of the economics of software quality. We use these quality metrics to compare a number of quality improvement techniques at each stage of the software development life cycle and quantify their efficacy using data from real-world applications.

To achieve high-quality levels for large systems, a synergistic set of methods is needed. These include defect prevention methods, which can reduce defect levels; pretest defect removal methods such as inspections and static analysis; and more than 40 kinds of testing.

Several newer kinds of development methods also have beneficial impacts on software quality compared to traditional “waterfall” development. These include Agile development, Crystal development, Extreme Programming (XP), Personal Software Process (PSP), the Rational Unified Process (RUP), the Team Software Process (TSP), and several others.

The generally poor measurement practices of the software industry have blurred understanding of software quality economics. Many executives and even some quality personnel tend to regard software quality as an expense. They also tend to regard quality as a topic that lengthens schedules and raises development costs.

However, from an analysis of about 13,000 software projects between 1973 and today, it is gratifying to observe that high quality levels are invariably associated with shorter-than-average development schedules and lower-than-average development costs.

The reason for this is that most projects that run late and exceed their budgets show no overt sign of distress until testing begins. When testing begins, a deluge of high-severity defects tends to stretch out testing intervals and cause massive bursts of overtime. In general, testing schedules for low-quality, large software projects are two to three times longer and more than twice as costly as testing for high-quality projects. If defects remain undetected and unremoved until testing starts, it is too late to bring a software project back under control. It is much more cost-effective to prevent defects or to remove them prior to testing.

Another poor measurement practice that has concealed the economic value of software quality is the usage of the cost-per-defect metric. It has become an urban legend that “it costs 100 times as much to fix a bug after delivery as during development.” Unfortunately, the cost-per-defect metric actually penalizes quality and achieves its lowest values for the buggiest software. As quality

improves, cost per defect rises until a level of zero defects is reached, where the cost-per-defect metric cannot be used at all.

The real economic value of high quality is only partially related to defect repair costs. It is true that high quality leads to fewer defects and therefore to lower defect repair costs. But its major economic benefits are due to the fact that high quality

- Reduces the odds of large-system cancellations
- Reduces the odds of litigation for outsourced projects
- Shortens development schedules
- Lowers development costs
- Lowers maintenance costs
- Reduces warranty costs
- Increases customer satisfaction

This book contains seven chapters. The Introduction in Chapter 1 discusses the fact that software has become one of the most widely used products in human history. As this book is written, a majority of all business activities are driven by software. A majority of government operations are controlled by software, such as civilian taxes, military and defense systems, and both state and local government organizations. Because software is so pervasive, high and low quality levels affect every citizen in significant ways.

Chapter 1 defines software quality, considering the topic of quality is ambiguous both for software itself and for other manufactured products. There are many diverse views of what “quality” actually means. Chapter 1 examines all of the common views and concludes that effective definitions for quality need to be predictable in advance and measurable when they occur. Because this book deals with quantification and economic topics, there is emphasis on quality factors that can be measured precisely, such as defects and defect removal efficiency. In addition to these well-defined metrics, we show how to precisely measure software structural quality. Other definitions of quality, such as fitness, use, or aesthetic factors, are important but not always relevant to economic analysis.

Chapter 2 is about estimating and measuring software quality. It is important for executives, clients, stakeholders, venture capitalists, and others with a financial interest in software to understand how quality can be predicted before projects start and measured during development and after release. Because software quality involves requirements, architecture, design, and many

other noncode artifacts, the traditional lines of code metric is inadequate. This book uses function point metrics and structural quality metrics for quantifying quality. The function point metric is independent of code and therefore can deal with noncoding defects such as “toxic requirements.” Structural quality metrics get to the root causes of application quality and serve as foundational measures of software costs and business risks.

Chapter 3 deals with the important topic of defect prevention. The set of methods that reduce defect potentials and minimize errors are difficult to study because they cannot be studied in isolation, but need numerous cases where a specific method was used and similar cases where the method was not used. Examples of methods that have demonstrated success in terms of defect prevention include Six Sigma, quality function deployment (QFD), test-driven development (TDD), and formal inspections. The kaizen and poka yoke inspections from Japan are also defect prevention methods. Some of these, such as inspections, happen to be effective as both defect prevention and defect removal methods.

Chapter 4 deals with pretest defect removal methods in use today. The term “pretest” refers to quality and defect removal methods that occur prior to the start of testing. Among these methods are peer reviews, formal inspections, and static analysis. Although the literature on pretest defect removal is sparse compared to the literature on testing, these methods are important and have great value. Effective pretest methods such as inspections and static analysis shorten test schedules and raise testing efficiency. Twenty-five different kinds of pretest defect removal are discussed.

Chapter 5 deals with testing, which is the traditional quality control technique for software projects. Although there is an extensive literature on testing, there is a surprising lack of quantified data on topics such as defect detection efficiency (DDE) and defect removal efficiency (DRE). If testing is performed without effective defect prevention methods and without pretest defect removal, most forms of testing are usually less than 35% efficient in finding bugs and quite expensive as well. A synergistic combination of defect prevention, pretest removal, and formal well-planned testing can raise test removal efficiency substantially. The goal of effective quality control is to approach 99% in terms of cumulative defect removal efficiency. Forty kinds of testing stages are discussed in Chapter 5.

Chapter 6 deals with post-release defect removal, which is an unfortunate fact of life for software applications. Cumulative defect removal efficiency in the United States is only about 85%, so all software applications are delivered with latent defects. As a result, customers will always find bugs, and software organizations will always need customer support and maintenance personnel

available to repair the bugs. However, state-of-the-art combinations of defect prevention, pretest removal, and testing can top 96% in terms of defect removal efficiency on average and even achieve 99% in a few cases.

Chapter 7 consolidates all of the authors' data and shows side-by-side results for low-quality, average-quality, and high-quality software projects. Both the methods used to achieve high quality and the quantitative results of achieving high quality are discussed.

Using structural quality data from 295 applications from 75 organizations worldwide, we define and quantify the notion of *technical debt*—the cost of fixing problems in working software that, if left unfixed, will likely cause severe business disruption. We juxtapose this with a framework for quantifying the loss of business value due to poor quality. Together with technical debt, this business value framework provides a platform for future software economics research.

*This page intentionally left blank*

# Acknowledgments

## By Capers Jones

There are two authors for this book, and we each want to acknowledge those who helped in its creation.

As always, thanks to my wife Eileen for her support of the many months of time spent in writing 16 books over a 25-year period.

While this book was in process, two friends and colleagues passed away. Special thanks should go to both Al Albrecht and Watts Humphrey.

Allan J. Albrecht was one of the original creators of function point metrics, without which this book would not be possible. Al and I first met in 1978 when he gave a talk on function points at the joint IBM/SHARE/GUIDE conference in Monterey, California. Although we both worked for IBM, Al was located in White Plains, New York, and I was located in San Jose, California, so we had not met until the conference.

Al's talk and the function point metric made economic analysis of software feasible and provided insights that older metrics such as "lines of code" and "cost per defect" could not replicate.

Al Albrecht, IBM, and the conference management kindly gave permission to publish Al's paper in my second book, *Programming Productivity: Issues for the Eighties* through the IEEE Press in 1979. From this point on, all of my technical books have used function points for quantitative information about software quality, productivity, and economic topics.

After Al retired from IBM, we both worked together for about five years in the area of expanding the usage of function point metrics. Al created the first certification exam for function points and taught the metric to many of our colleagues.

Al was an electrical engineer by training and envisioned function point metrics as providing a firm basis for both quality and productivity studies for all kinds of software applications. Today, in 2011, function points are the most widely used software metric and almost the only metric that has substantial volumes of benchmark information available.

About two weeks before Al Albrecht passed away, the software industry also lost Watts Humphrey. Watts, too, was a colleague at IBM. Watts was an inventor and a prolific writer of excellent books, as well as an excellent public speaker and often keynoted software conferences.

After retiring from IBM, Watts started a second career at the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) where he pioneered the development of the original version of the capability maturity model (CMM).

Watts was one of the first software researchers to recognize that quality is the driving force for effective software development methods. It would be pointless to improve productivity unless quality improved faster and further because otherwise higher productivity would only create more defects. At both IBM and the SEI, Watts supported many quality initiatives, such as formal inspections, formal testing, and complete defect measurements, from the start of software projects through their whole useful lives.

Watts also created both the Personal Software Process (PSP) and the Team Software Process (TSP), which are among the most effective methods for combining high quality and high performance.

Watts's work in software process improvement was recognized by his receipt of the National Medal of Technology from President George Bush in 2005.

In recent years, Watts took part in a number of seminars and conferences, so we were able to meet face-to-face several times a year, usually in cities where software conferences were being held.

In this book, the importance of quality as being on the critical path to successful software development is an idea that Watts long championed. And the ability to measure quality, productivity, and other economic factors would not be possible without the function point metric developed by Al Albrecht.

Many other people contributed to this book, but the pioneering work of Al and Watts were the key factors that made the book possible.

### **By Olivier Bonsignour**

First and foremost, I would like to thank Capers Jones. It has been a pleasure working with him on this book.

I owe a debt to my colleagues Lev Lesokhin and Bill Curtis at CAST. Lev and Bill were the first ones to suggest this project and have been exceptional sounding boards throughout. Their imprint on the ideas, organization, and content is so extensive that they should be considered coauthors of this book.

I've borrowed from the work of other colleagues at CAST. First of all, Jitendra Subramanyam, who has done a tremendous job helping me elaborate the content of this book. Also, my work with Bill Curtis and Vincent Delaroche—on the distinction between software structural quality at the application level, as opposed to quality at the component level—appears in Chapter 2. This attribute of software quality—that the whole is greater than the sum of its parts—is critical to the analysis and measurement of software quality. The definition of software structural quality metrics in that chapter is based on work I did with Bill and with Vincent. The framework in Chapter 7 for calculating the

business loss caused by poor structural quality is also based on Bill's work. Jay Sappidi did the groundbreaking work of collecting and analyzing our first batch of structural quality data and crafting a definition of *Technical Debt*. Much of the structural quality analysis in Chapters 6 and 7 is based on Jay's work.

The product engineering team at CAST—Razak Ellafi, Philippe-Emmanuel Douziech, and their fellow engineers—continue to create a magnificent product that admirably serves the needs of hundreds of organizations worldwide. The CAST Application Intelligence Platform is not only a piece of fine engineering, it is also the generator of all the structural quality data in this book.

*This page intentionally left blank*

# About the Authors



**Capers Jones** is currently the President and CEO of Capers Jones & Associates LLC. He is also the founder and former chairman of Software Productivity Research LLC (SPR). He holds the title of Chief Scientist Emeritus at SPR. Capers Jones founded SPR in 1984.

Before founding SPR, Capers was Assistant Director of Programming Technology for the ITT Corporation at the Programming Technology Center in Stratford, Connecticut. He was also a manager and researcher at IBM in California.

Capers is a well-known author and international public speaker. Some of his books have been translated into six languages. All of his books have been translated into Japanese, and his newest books are available in Chinese editions as well.

Among his book titles are *Patterns of Software Systems Failure and Success* (Prentice Hall 1994), *Applied Software Measurement, Third Edition* (McGraw-Hill, 2008), *Software Quality: Analysis and Guidelines for Success* (International Thomson, 1997), *Estimating Software Costs, Second Edition* (McGraw-Hill, 2007), and *Software Assessments, Benchmarks, and Best Practices* (Addison-Wesley, 2000). The third edition of his book *Applied Software Measurement* was published in the spring of 2008. His book entitled *Software Engineering Best Practices* was published by McGraw-Hill in October 2009. His current book is *The Economics of Software Quality*, with Olivier Bonsignour as coauthor.

Capers and his colleagues have collected historical data from more than 600 corporations and more than 30 government organizations. This historical data is a key resource for judging the effectiveness of software process improvement methods. More than 13,000 projects have been reviewed.

In addition to his technical books, Mr. Jones has also received recognition as an historian after the publication of *The History and Future of Narragansett Bay* in 2006 by Universal Publishers.

His research studies include quality estimation, quality measurement, software cost and schedule estimation, software metrics, and risk analysis.

Mr. Jones has consulted at more than 150 large corporations and also at a number of government organizations such as NASA, the U.S. Air Force, the U.S. Navy, the Internal Revenue Service, and the U.S. Courts. He has also worked with several state governments.



**Olivier Bonsignour** is responsible for Research & Development and Product Management in a continual effort to build the world's most advanced Application Intelligence technology.

Prior to joining CAST, Mr. Bonsignour was the CIO for DGA, the advanced research division of the French Ministry of Defense. Prior to that role, also at DGA, he was in charge of application development and a project director working on IT systems that support operations. A pioneer in the development of distributed systems and object oriented development, he joined CAST after having been an early adopter of CAST technology in 1996.

Mr. Bonsignour holds a graduate degree in engineering and computer science from the National Institute of Applied Sciences (INSA), Lyon, and a master's degree in management from the executive program at IAE Aix-en-Provence. In his free time, Mr. Bonsignour enjoys swimming, cycling, and skiing, as well as sailing his boat off the coast of France.

# Chapter 1

---

---

# Defining Software Quality and Economic Value

---

## Introduction

This book deals with two topics that have been ambiguous and difficult to pin down for many years: software quality and economic value.

The reason for the ambiguity, as noted in the Preface, is that there are many different points of view, and each point of view has a different interpretation of the terms. For example, software quality does not mean the same thing to a customer as it does to a developer. Economic value has a different meaning to vendors than it has to consumers. For vendors, revenue is the key element of value, and for consumers, operational factors represent primary value. Both of these are discussed later in the book.

By examining a wide spectrum of views and extracting the essential points from each view, the authors hope that workable definitions can be established that are comparatively unambiguous.

Software quality, as covered in this book, goes well beyond functional quality (the sort of thing to which customers might react to in addition to usability and reliable performance). Quality certainly covers these aspects but extends further to nonfunctional quality (how well the software does what it is meant to do) and to structural quality (how well it can continue to serve business needs as they evolve and change as business conditions do).

## Why Is Software Quality Important?

Computer usage in industrial countries starts at or before age 6, and by age 16 almost 60% of young people in the United States have at least a working

knowledge of computers and software. Several skilled hackers have been apprehended who were only 16 years of age.

The approximate population of the United States in 2010 was about 309,800,135 based on Census Bureau estimates. Out of the total population about 30% use computers daily either for business purposes or for recreational purposes or both; that is, about 92,940,040 Americans are daily computer users.

About 65% of the U.S. population use embedded software in the form of smart phones, digital cameras, digital watches, automobile brakes and engine controls, home appliances, and entertainment devices. Many people are not aware that embedded software controls such devices, but it does. In other words, about 201,370,087 U.S. citizens own and use devices that contain embedded software.

Almost 100% of the U.S. population has personal data stored in various online databases maintained by the Census Bureau, the Internal Revenue Service, state governments, municipal governments, banks, insurance companies, credit card companies, and credit scoring companies.

Moving on to business, data from various sources such as *Forbes*, Manta, *Business Week*, the Department of Commerce Bureau of Labor Statistics, and others reports that the United States has about 22,553,779 companies (as of the end of 2010). Of these companies about 65% use computers and software for business operations, retail sales, accounting, and other purposes—so about 14,659,956 U.S. companies use computers and software. (Corporate software usage ranges from a basic spreadsheet up to entire enterprise resource planning [ERP] packages plus hundreds of other applications.)

Based on data from the Manta website, the software deployed in the United States is provided by about 77,186 software companies and another 10,000 U.S. companies that create devices with embedded software. A great deal of embedded software and the device companies themselves have moved to China, Taiwan, Japan, India, and other offshore countries. An exception to offshore migration is the manufacture of embedded software for military equipment and weapons systems, which tends to stay in the United States for security reasons.

The U.S. military services and the Department of Defense (DoD) own and deploy more software than any other organizations in history. In fact, the DoD probably owns and deploys more software than the military organizations of all other countries combined. Our entire defense community is now dependent on software for command and control, logistics support, and the actual operation of weapons systems. Our national defense systems are highly computerized, so software quality is a critical component of the U.S. defense strategy.

Without even knowing it, we are awash in a sea of software that operates most of our manufacturing equipment, keeps records on virtually all citizens, and operates the majority of our automobiles, home appliances, and entertainment devices. Our transportation systems, medical systems, and government operations all depend on computers and software and hence also depend on high software quality levels.

While software is among the most widely used products in human history, it also has one of the highest failure rates of any product in human history due primarily to poor quality.

Based on observations among the authors' clients plus observations during expert witness assignments, the cancellation rate for applications in the 10,000 function point size range is about 31%. The average cost for these cancelled projects is about \$35,000,000. By contrast, projects in the 10,000 function point size range that are successfully completed and have high quality levels only cost about \$20,000,000.

When projects developed by outsource vendors are cancelled and clients sue for breach of contract, the average cost of litigation is about \$5,000,000 for the plaintiff and \$7,000,000 for the defendant. If the defendants lose, then awards for damages can top \$25,000,000. However because most U.S. courts bar suits for consequential damages, the actual losses by the defendants can be much larger.

Of the authors' clients who are involved with outsourcing, about 5% of agreements tend to end up in court for breach of contract. The claims by the plaintiffs include outright failure, delivery of inoperable software, or delivery of software with such high defect volumes that usage is harmful rather than useful.

As of 2011, the average cost per function point in the United States is about \$1,000 to build software applications and another \$1,000 to maintain and support them for five years: \$2,000 per function point in total. For projects that use effective combinations of defect prevention and defect removal activities and achieve high quality levels, average development costs are only about \$700 per function point and maintenance, and support costs drop to about \$500 per function point: \$1,200 per function point in total.

Expressed another way, the software engineering population of the United States is currently around 2,400,000 when software engineers and related occupations such as systems analysis are considered. On any given day, due to poor quality control, about 1,000,000 of these workers spend the day finding and fixing bugs (and, unwittingly, injecting new bugs as part of the process).

So all of these statistics point to the fact that better software quality control in the forms of defect prevention and more effective defect removal could free up about 720,000 software personnel for more productive work than just bug repairs, easily reducing U.S. software development and maintenance costs by about 50%.

As we show later in the book, the cost savings that result from higher quality are proportional to application size. As software projects grow larger, cost savings from high quality levels increase. Table 1.1 illustrates typical software development costs for low-, average-, and high-quality software applications.

The technologies and methods associated with these three quality levels are discussed and illustrated in later sections of this chapter, as are the reasons that large software projects are so risky. Suffice it to say the “high quality” column includes effective defect prevention, effective pretest defect removal such as inspections and static analysis, and much more effective testing than the other columns.

Another major reason that software quality is important is because poor quality can and will affect each citizen personally in unpleasant ways. Every time there is a billing error, every time taxes are miscalculated, every time credit ratings change for incorrect reasons, poor software quality is part of the problem.

Early in 2010, hundreds of computers were shut down and many businesses including hospitals were disrupted when the MacAfee antivirus application mistakenly identified part of Microsoft Windows as a virus and stopped it from loading.

According to the July 25, 2010, issue of *Computerworld*, the BP drilling platform that exploded and sank had been having frequent and serious computer problems for a month prior to the final disaster. These problems prevented significant quantities of data from being analyzed that might have warned operators in time to shut down the oil pumping operation.

**Table 1.1** *Software Costs by Size and Quality Level*

(Burdened cost = \$10,000 per month)			
Function Points	Low Quality	Average Quality	High Quality
10	\$6,875	\$6,250	\$5,938
100	\$88,561	\$78,721	\$74,785
1,000	\$1,039,889	\$920,256	\$846,636
10,000	\$23,925,127	\$23,804,458	\$18,724,012
100,000	\$507,767,782	\$433,989,557	\$381,910,810

If your automobile braking system does not operate correctly, if a home appliance fails unexpectedly, or if a hospital makes a medical mistake, there is a good chance that poor software quality was part of the problem.

If an airline flight is delayed more than about two hours or if there is a widespread power outage that affects an entire geographic region such as New England, the odds, again, are good that poor software quality was part of the problem.

Because software is such a basic commodity as of 2011, it is useful to start by considering how much software ordinary U.S. citizens own and use. Table 1.2 shows typical software volumes associated with normal living activities.

The data in Table 1.2 comes from a combination of web sources and proprietary data provided by clients who build appliances of various kinds.

Not every citizen has all of these appliances and devices, but about half of us do. Many of us have even more than what Table 1.2 indicates, such as owning several automobiles, several cell phones, and numerous appliances. Software quality is important because it is the main operating component of almost all complex machines as of 2011.

Another reason that software quality is important is because many of us need high-quality software to go about our daily jobs. Table 1.3 shows typical software usage patterns for a sample of positions that include knowledge work, based on observations and discussions with members of various professions and from studies with the companies that provide the software.

**Table 1.2** *Personal Software Circa 2011*

Products	Function Points	Lines of Code	Daily Usage Hours
Personal computer	1,000,000	50,000,000	2.00
Automobile	350,000	17,500,000	2.00
Smart appliances	100,000	5,000,000	1.00
Smart phone	25,000	1,250,000	1.50
Social networks	25,000	1,250,000	1.50
Home entertainment	10,000	500,000	2.00
Electronic book	5,000	250,000	1.00
Digital camera	2,500	125,000	0.50
Digital watch	1,500	75,000	0.50
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,519,000</b>	<b>75,950,000</b>	<b>12.00</b>

As can be seen from Table 1.3, all knowledge workers in the modern world are heavily dependent on computers and software to perform their jobs. Therefore, these same workers are heavily dependent on high software quality levels. Every time there is a computer failure or a software failure, many knowledge workers will have to stop their jobs until repairs are made. Indeed, power failures can stop work in today's world.

One of the authors was once an expert witness in a software breach-of-contract lawsuit. While being deposed in Boston there was a power failure, and the court stenographer could not record the transcript. As a result, four attorneys, the stenographer, and two expert witnesses spent about two hours waiting until the deposition could continue. All of us were being paid our regular rates during the outage. We are so dependent on computers and software that work stops cold when the equipment is unavailable.

**Table 1.3** *Occupation Group Software Usage Circa 2011*

Occupation Groups	Function Points	Lines of Code	Daily Usage Hours	Packages Used
Military planners	5,000,000	295,000,000	6.50	30
Physicians	3,000,000	177,000,000	3.00	20
FBI agents	1,500,000	88,500,000	3.50	15
Military officers	775,000	45,725,000	3.50	20
Attorneys	350,000	20,650,000	4.00	10
Airline pilots	350,000	20,650,000	7.00	15
Air-traffic controllers	325,000	19,175,000	8.50	3
IRS tax agents	175,000	10,325,000	5.00	10
Accountants	175,000	10,325,000	5.00	12
Pharmacists	150,000	8,850,000	4.00	6
Electrical engineers	100,000	5,900,000	5.50	20
Software engineers	75,000	4,425,000	7.00	20
Civil engineers	65,000	3,835,000	5.00	6
Police detectives	60,000	3,540,000	3.50	12
Project managers	50,000	2,950,000	2.00	7
Real estate agents	30,000	1,770,000	4.00	7
Bank tellers	25,000	1,475,000	6.00	8
School teachers	15,000	885,000	1.50	4
Retail clerks	15,000	885,000	7.00	5
AVERAGES	643,947	37,992,895	4.82	12

Similar occurrences take place after hurricanes and natural disasters that shut down power. Many retail establishments are unable to record sales information, and some stay closed even though workers and potential customers are both available. If computers and software are out of service, many businesses can no longer operate.

Software and computers are so deeply enmeshed in modern business and government operations that the global economy is at serious risk. As military planners know, nuclear explosions in the atmosphere emit an electromagnetic pulse (EMP) that damages transistors and electrical circuits. They can also cause explosions of liquid fuels such as gasoline and can detonate stored weapons.

Such “ebombs” can be designed and detonated high enough so that they don’t cause injuries or death to people, but instead cause major destruction of electronic devices such as radar, electric power generation, television, computers, and the like.

As of 2011, it is thought that most major countries already have ebombs in their arsenals. CBS news reported that one or more ebombs shut down the electric capacity of Baghdad without doing physical damage to buildings or personnel during the second Iraq war. This could be one of the reasons why restoring power to Baghdad after the hostilities ended has been so difficult.

A final reason that software quality is important is because dozens of government agencies and thousands of companies have personal information about us stored in their computers. Therefore, both quality and security are critical topics in 2011.

Table 1.4 shows examples of the kinds of organizations that record personal information and the probable number of people who work in those organizations who might have access to data about our finances, our Social Security numbers, our health-care records, our dates of birth, our jobs, our families, our incomes, and many other personal topics.

Given the number of government agencies and corporations that record vital data about citizens, and the number of people who have access to that data, it is no wonder that identity theft is likely to hit about 15% of U.S. citizens within the next five years.

A Congressional report showed that the number of U.S. cyber attacks increased from about 43,000 in 2008 to more than 80,000 in 2009. As this book is being written, probably more than 10,000 U.S. hackers are actively engaged in attempting to steal credit card and financial information. Computers, networks, and smart phones are all at considerable risk. Security vulnerabilities are linked closely to poor quality, and many attacks are based on known quality flaws.

**Table 1.4** *Estimated Applications with Personal Data*

Organizations	Function Points	Lines of Code	Personnel with Access	Packages Used
Internal Revenue Service	150,000	7,500,000	10,000	10
Banks	125,000	6,250,000	90,000	12
Insurance companies	125,000	6,250,000	75,000	15
Credit card companies	125,000	6,250,000	3,000	10
Credit bureaus	120,000	6,000,000	1,500	9
Census Bureau	100,000	5,000,000	1,000	5
State tax boards	90,000	4,500,000	200	5
Airlines	75,000	3,750,000	250	12
Police organizations	75,000	3,750,000	10,000	5
Hospitals	75,000	3,750,000	1,000	5
Web-based stores	75,000	3,750,000	1,500	12
Municipal tax boards	50,000	2,500,000	20	3
Motor vehicle department	50,000	2,500,000	200	3
Physicians offices	30,000	1,500,000	50	6
Dental offices	30,000	1,500,000	50	6
Schools/universities	25,000	1,250,000	125	8
Clubs and associations	20,000	1,000,000	250	3
Retail stores	20,000	1,000,000	100	4
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>1,360,000</b>	<b>68,000,000</b>	<b>194,245</b>	<b>133</b>

Because computers and software are now the main tools that operate industry and government, software quality and software security are among the most important topics of the modern world. Indeed, the importance of both quality and security will increase over the next decade.

From an economic standpoint, higher software quality levels can shorten development schedules, lower development and maintenance costs, improve customer satisfaction, improve team morale, and improve the status of the software engineering profession all at the same time.

---

## Defining Software Quality

Quality has always been a difficult topic to define, and software quality has been exceptionally difficult. The reason is that perceptions of quality vary from person to person and from object to object.

For software quality for a specific application, the perceptions of quality differ among clients, developers, users, managers, software quality personnel, testers, senior executives, and other stakeholders. The perceptions of quality also differ among quality consultants, academics, and litigation attorneys. Many definitions have been suggested over the years, but none have been totally satisfactory or totally adopted by the software industry, including those embodied in international standards.

The reason that quality in general and software quality in particular have been elusive and hard to pin down is because the word “quality” has many nuances and overtones. For example, among the attributes of quality can be found these ten:

1. *Elegance or beauty* in the eye of the beholder
2. *Fitness of use* for various purposes
3. *Satisfaction of user requirements*, both explicit and implicit
4. *Freedom from defects*, perhaps to Six Sigma levels
5. *High efficiency of defect removal* activities
6. *High reliability* when operating
7. *Ease of learning* and *ease of use*
8. *Clarity of user guides* and HELP materials
9. *Ease of access* to customer support
10. *Rapid repairs* of reported defects

To further complicate the definition, quality often depends on the context in which a software component or feature operates. The quality of a software component is not an intrinsic property—the exact same component can be of excellent quality or highly dangerous depending on the environment in which it operates or the intent of the user.

This contextual nature of software quality is a fundamental challenge and applies to each of the ten attributes just listed. What is elegant in one situation might be downright unworkable in another; what is highly reliable under certain conditions can quickly break down in others.

A closely related complication is what Brooks calls “changeability” of software. “In short, the software product is embedded in a cultural matrix of applications, users, laws, and machine vehicles. These all change continually, and their changes force change upon the software product.” (Brooks 1995, p.185)

This brings us to the distinction between testing and software quality. Software quality is often loosely equated with the activities of testing or quality assurance. However, contextuality and Brooks' notion of changeability of software are the reasons why software quality *cannot be equated with testing or quality assurance*.

Testing can only tackle known unknowns. If you don't know what you're testing for, you are not, by definition, conducting tests. But software, by its very nature is subject to unknown unknowns. No amount of functional or nonfunctional testing can be designed to detect and correct these problems. For example, the behavior of the application can change when

- One or more application components are switched out for new components
- Components change for technology reasons (such as version upgrades)
- Components change for business reasons (such as for new features or a change in workflow)
- Or the application's environment (perhaps the technology stack, for example) changes

It is impossible to devise tests for these conditions in advance. However, from experience we know that some applications are more robust, reliable, and dependable than others when the environment around them changes. Some applications are much easier to modify or extend in response to pressing business needs. These attributes of an application—robustness, dependability, modifiability, and so on—are reliable indicators of application quality that go beyond the defects identified during testing or the process inefficiencies or compliance lapses identified in quality assurance. Therefore, the quality of an application can and must be defined in such a way as to accommodate these indicators of quality that outrun those identified in testing and quality assurance. How the concepts of contextuality and changeability can be accounted for in defining and measuring software quality is addressed at length in Chapter 2.

There are seven criteria that should be applied to definitions of software quality in order to use the definition in a business environment for economic analysis:

1. The quality definition should be *predictable* before projects start.
2. The quality definition should be *measurable* during and after projects are finished.
3. The quality definition should be *provable* if litigation occurs.

4. The quality definition should be *improvable* over time.
5. The quality definition should be *flexible* and encompass all deliverables.
6. The quality definition should be *extensible* and cover all phases and activities.
7. The quality definition should be *expandable* to meet new technologies such as cloud computing.

In addition, the various nuances of quality can be categorized into seven major focus areas or quality types:

1. *Technical or Structural quality*, which includes reliability, defects, and defect repairs
2. *Process quality*, which includes development methods that elevate quality
3. *Usage quality*, which includes ease of use and ease of learning
4. *Service quality*, which includes access to support personnel
5. *Aesthetic quality*, which includes user satisfaction and subjective topics
6. *Standards quality*, which includes factors from various international standards
7. *Legal quality*, which includes claims made in lawsuits for poor quality

The reason that taxonomy of quality types is needed is because the full set of all possible quality attributes encompasses more than 100 different topics. Table 1.5 lists a total of 121 software quality attributes and ranks them in order of importance.

The ranking scheme ranges from +10 for topics that have proven to be extremely valuable to a low of -10 for topics that have demonstrated extreme harm to software projects.

**Table 1.5** *Seven Types of Software Quality Factors*

	Quality Factors	Value
<b>Technical Quality Factors</b>		
1	Few requirements defects	10.00
2	No toxic requirements	10.00
3	Zero error-prone modules	10.00

(Continued)

**Table 1.5** (Continued)

	Quality Factors	Value
<b>Technical Quality Factors</b>		
4	Low defect potentials	10.00
5	Use of certified reusable code	10.00
6	Low rates of severity 1 and 2 defects	10.00
7	High reliability	9.90
8	Strong security features	9.90
9	Few design defects	9.50
10	Few coding defects	9.50
11	Low bad-fix injection rate	9.50
12	Low rates of invalid defect reports	9.50
13	Low rates of legacy defects	9.50
14	Easy conversion to SaaS format	9.00
15	Easy conversion to Cloud format	9.00
16	Fault tolerance	8.00
17	Few defects in test cases	8.00
18	Low cyclomatic complexity	7.50
19	Low entropy	7.00
<b>Process Quality Factors</b>		
20	Customer support of high quality	10.00
21	High defect detection efficiency (DDE)	10.00
22	High defect removal efficiency (DRE)	10.00
23	Accurate defect measurements	10.00
24	Use of formal defect tracking	10.00
25	Accurate defect estimates	10.00
26	Low total cost of ownership (TCO)	10.00
27	Executive support of quality	10.00
28	Team support of quality	10.00
29	Management support of quality	10.00
30	Accurate quality benchmarks	10.00
31	Effective quality metrics	10.00
32	Minimizing hazards of poor quality	10.00
33	Use of formal quality improvement plan	10.00
34	COQ: appraisal	10.00

	Quality Factors	Value
	<b>Process Quality Factors</b>	
35	COQ: prevention	10.00
36	COQ: internal failure	10.00
37	COQ: external failure	10.00
38	Cost of learning (COL)	10.00
39	Quality improvement baselines	9.90
40	Function point quality measures	9.80
41	Quality and schedules	9.00
42	Quality and costs	9.00
43	Use of formal inspections	9.00
44	Use of automated static analysis	9.00
45	Use of formal test case design	9.00
46	Use of reusable test data	9.00
47	Use of formal SQA team	9.00
48	Use of trained test personnel	9.00
49	Use of formal test library controls	9.00
50	Use of formal change management	9.00
51	Use of Six Sigma for software	9.00
52	Use of Team Software Process (TSP)	9.00
53	Use of Agile methods	9.00
54	Use of Rational methods (RUP)	9.00
55	Use of hybrid methods	9.00
56	Use of Quality Function Deployment (QFD)	9.00
57	Use of trained inspection teams	9.00
58	Use of CMMI levels = > 3	9.00
59	Use of legacy renovation tools	9.00
60	Low rates of false-positive defects	9.00
61	Low rates of duplicate defect reports	8.75
62	Use of refactoring and restructuring	8.50
63	Six-Sigma quality measures	8.50
64	High test coverage	8.00
65	Low Cost of Quality (COQ)	8.00
66	Use of automated test tools	8.00

*(Continued)*

**Table 1.5** (Continued)

	Quality Factors	Value
<b>Process Quality Factors</b>		
67	Use of story point quality metrics	2.00
68	Use of Use Case point quality metrics	2.00
69	Use of waterfall methods	1.00
70	Lines of code quality measures	-5.00
71	Use of CMMI levels = < 2	-5.00
72	Cost-per-defect quality measures	-7.00
73	Executive indifference to high quality	-10.00
74	Management indifference to high quality	-10.00
75	Team indifference to high quality	-10.00
76	Customer indifference to high quality	-10.00
<b>Usage Quality Factors</b>		
77	Ease of use	10.00
78	Useful features	10.00
79	Ease of learning	10.00
80	Good tutorial manuals	10.00
81	Good training courses	10.00
82	Good on-line HELP	10.00
83	Useful HELP information	9.75
84	Defect repair costs	9.25
85	Low cost of learning (COL)	9.25
86	User error handling	9.00
87	Speed of loading	9.00
88	Speed of usage	9.00
89	Good nationalization for global products	9.00
90	Documentation defects	9.00
91	Easy export of data to other software	9.00
92	Easy import of data from other software	9.00
93	Useful manuals and training	8.50
94	Good assistance from live experts	
<b>Service Quality Factors</b>		
95	Good customer service	9.50
96	Rapid defect repair speed	9.25
97	Good technical support	9.00

	Quality Factors	Value
<b>Service Quality Factors</b>		
98	Good HELP desk support	9.00
99	Use of formal incident management	8.00
100	Use of ITIL policies	8.00
<b>Aesthetic Quality Factors</b>		
101	High user satisfaction	10.00
102	Superior to competitive applications	10.00
103	Superior to legacy applications	10.00
104	Quick start-up and shut-down times	9.00
105	No feature bloat	7.00
<b>Standards Quality Factors</b>		
106	ISO/IEEE standards compliance	10.00
107	Certification of reusable materials	10.00
108	Corporation standards compliance	10.00
109	Certification of test personnel	8.00
110	Certification of SQA personnel	8.00
111	Portability	7.00
112	Maintainability	6.00
113	Scalability	5.00
<b>Legal Quality Factors</b>		
114	Good warranty	10.00
115	Partial warranty: replacement only	4.00
116	Litigation for poor quality—consequential	-10.00
117	Litigation for poor quality—contractual	-10.00
118	Litigation for poor quality—financial loss	-10.00
119	Litigation for poor quality—safety	-10.00
120	Litigation for poor quality—medical	-10.00
121	No warranty expressed or implied	-10.00

A total of 121 quality factors is far too cumbersome to be useful for day-to-day quality analysis. Table 1.6 lists the top 12 quality factors if you select only the most significant factors in achieving quality based on measurements of several thousand applications.

As of 2011, 11 of these 12 quality factors are technically achievable. Item 114 on the list, Good warranty, is not yet practiced by the software industry. This situation needs to change, and the software industry needs to stand behind software applications with effective warranty coverage.

**Table 1.6** *The 12 Most Effective Software Quality Factors*

1. Low defect potentials
2. Effective defect prevention methods
3. High defect detection efficiency (DDE)
4. High defect removal efficiency (DRE)
5. Use of pretest inspections
6. Use of pretest static analysis
7. Use of formal test case design
8. Good ease of learning
9. Good ease of use
10. Good technical support
11. High user satisfaction
12. Good warranty

Though all 121 of the quality factors are important, in order to deal with the economic value of quality, it is obvious that the factors have to be capable of quantitative expression. It is also obvious that the factors have to influence these seven topics:

1. The costs of development, maintenance, enhancement, and support.
2. The schedules for development, maintenance, enhancement, and support.
3. The direct revenue that the application will accrue if it is marketed.
4. The indirect revenue that might accrue from services or related products.
5. The learning curve for users of the application.
6. The operational cost savings that the application will provide to users.
7. The new kinds of business opportunities that the application will provide to users.

This book concentrates on software quality factors that have a tangible impact on costs and revenue. And to deal with the economic value of these quality factors, the book addresses three critical topics:

- What are the results of “average quality” in terms of costs, schedules, revenue, and other financial topics? Once defined, average quality will provide the baseline against which economic value can be measured.

- What are the results of “high quality” in terms of cost reduction, schedule reduction, higher revenues, new market opportunities, and other financial topics?
- What are the consequences of “low quality” in terms of cost increases, schedule increases, reduced revenue, loss of customers, and other financial topics?

Usually, more insights result from polar opposites than from average values. Therefore the book concentrates on the economic value from high quality and the economic losses from low quality.

While average quality is important, it is not very good, nor has it ever been very good for software. Therefore, it is important to know that only better-than-average software quality has tangible economic values associated with it.

Conversely, low software quality brings with it some serious economic consequences, including the threat of class-action litigation, the threat of breach of contract litigation, and, for embedded software in medical devices, even the potential threat of criminal charges.

---

## Defining Economic Value and Defining the Value of Software Quality

Not only is “quality” an ambiguous term because of multiple viewpoints, but the term “value” is also ambiguous for the same reason. Indeed the concept of economic value has been a difficult one for every industry and for all forms of economic theory for more than 150 years.

Some economic theories link value to cost of production and to the price of various commodities. Other economic theories link value to usage of the same commodities. John Ruskin even assigned a moral element to value that dealt with whether wealth or various commodities were used for beneficial or harmful purposes. All of these theoretical economic views about value are interesting but somewhat outside the scope of this book.

For software, the perception of economic value can vary between enterprises that produce software and enterprises that consume or use software. These two views correspond to classic economic theories that assign value based on either production or on usage—both are discussed.

But software has another view of value that is not dealt with very often in standard economic theories. In modern businesses and governments, the people who pay for internal software application development are neither the producers nor the consumers.

Many software projects are commissioned and funded by executives or senior managers who will probably never actually use the software itself. These senior executives have a perception of value that needs to be considered—at the executive level, value of software can be delineated in a few different ways:

1. Software that can lower current operational costs
2. Software that can increase revenue by selling more current products
3. Software that can increase revenue by creating innovative new lines of business or by producing novel new products

For the first 20 years of the software industry between about 1950 and 1970, operating cost reduction was the primary economic reason for building software applications. Many clerical and paper-pushing jobs were converted from manual labor to computers.

From about 1970 to 1990, computers and software started to be aimed at improving manufacturing and marketing capabilities so that companies could build and sell more of their current products. Robotic manufacturing and sophisticated customer support and inventory management systems made significant changes in industrial production, marketing, and sales methodologies.

From about 1990 through today, computers and software have been rapidly creating new kinds of businesses that never existed before in all of human history. Consider the products and business models that are now based on the Internet and the World Wide Web.

Modern companies such as Amazon, Google, and eBay are doing forms of business that could not be done at all without software and the Web. At a lower level, computer gaming is now a multi-billion dollar industry that is selling immersive 3D products that could not exist without software. In other words, the third dimension of economic value is “innovation” and the creation of entirely new kinds of products and new business models.

In sum, when considering the economics of software and also of software quality, we need to balance three distinct threads of analysis:

1. Operating cost reductions
2. Revenue from increasing market share of current products or services
3. Revenue from inventing entirely new kinds of products and services

To come to grips with both the value of software itself and economic value of software quality, it is useful to explore a sample of these disparate views,

including both the value of high quality levels and the economic harm from poor quality levels. In Chapter 7 we present quantitative frameworks for measuring the operating costs and the business impact of software quality.

## **The Economic Value of Software and Quality to Enterprises That Build Internal Software for Their Own Use**

Building software internally for corporate or government operations was the first application for computers in a business setting. The reason this was the first response is because there were no other alternatives in the late 1950s and early 1960s due to the lack of other sources for software.

In the 1960s when computers first began to be widely used for business purposes, there were few COTS companies and no enterprise-resource planning companies (ERP) at all. The outsource business was in its infancy, and offshore outsourcing was almost 20 years in the future. The initial use of computers was to replace labor-intensive paper operations with faster computerized applications. For example, insurance claims handling, accounting, billing, taxation, and inventory management were all early examples of computerization of business operations.

The software for these business applications were built by the companies or government groups that needed them. This began an interesting new economic phenomenon of large companies accumulating large software staffs for building custom software even though software had nothing to do with their primary business operations.

By the 1990s, many banks, insurance companies, and manufacturing companies had as many as 10% of their total employment engaged in the development, maintenance, and support of custom internal software.

The same phenomenon occurred for the federal government, for all 50 of the state governments, and for about the 125 largest municipal governments.

In 2011, the 1,000 largest U.S. companies employed more than 1,000,000 software engineers and other software occupations. Some of these companies are very sophisticated and build software well, but many are not sophisticated and have major problems with cost overruns, schedule slippage, and outright cancellation of critical software projects.

The same statement is true of government software development. Some agencies are capable and build software well. But many are not capable and experience cancellations, overruns, and poor quality after deployment.

Examples of enterprises that build their own custom software include Aetna, Citizens, Proctor and Gamble, Ford and General Motors, Exxon Oil, the federal government, the state of California, the city of New York, and thousands of others.

Most internal software development groups operate as cost centers and are not expected to be profitable. However, they may charge other operating units for their services. Some software groups are funded by corporations as overhead functions, in which case they work for free. Very few operate as profit centers and sell their services to other companies as well as to internal business units.

Between about 1965 and 1985 these internal development groups were building applications for two main purposes:

1. To reduce operational costs by automating labor-intensive manual activities
2. To allow companies to offer new and improved services to customers

By the end of the last century and continuing through 2011, the work patterns have shifted. About 75% of “new” applications in 2011 were replacements for aging legacy applications built more than 15 years ago. These aging legacy applications require so much work to keep them running and up to date that more than 50% of internal software staffs now work on modifying existing software.

And, of course, the software personnel spend a high percentage of every working day finding and fixing bugs.

Since the early 1990s outsource vendors, COTS vendors, and open source vendors have entered the picture. As a result, the roles of internal software groups are evolving. Due to the recession of 2008 through 2010, many internal software groups have been downsized, and many others are being transferred to outsourcing companies.

As of 2011 only about 20% of the work of internal software groups involves innovation and new forms of software. The new forms of innovative software center on web applications, cloud computing, and business intelligence. However, numerous software projects are still being built by internal software groups even if the majority of these “new” projects are replacements or consolidations of aging legacy applications.

The economic value of software quality for internal software projects centers on these topics:

- Reduced cancellation rates for large applications
- Earlier delivery dates for new applications
- Reduced resistance from operating units for accepting new software
- Faster learning curves for bringing users up to speed for new software
- More and better services and products for clients

- Reduced development costs for new applications
- Reduced maintenance costs for released applications
- Reduced customer support costs for released applications
- Reduced executive dissatisfaction with the IT function

The economic consequences of low quality for in-house development include

- Protracted delays in delivering new applications
- Major cost overruns for new applications
- High probability of outright cancellation for large new applications
- Damages to business operations from poor quality
- Damages to customer records from poor quality
- Executive outrage over poor performance of IT group
- High odds of replacing in-house development with outsourcing
- Long learning curves for new applications due to poor quality
- Poor customer satisfaction
- High maintenance costs due to poor quality
- High customer support costs due to poor quality

The internal software development community does not do a very good job on software quality compared to the embedded software community, the systems software community, the defense software community, or even the commercial software community. One of the reasons why many companies are moving to outsource vendors is because internal software quality has not been effective.

Among the gaps in software quality control for the internal software community can be found poor quality measurements, failure to use effective defect prevention techniques, failure to use pretest inspections and static analysis tools, and failure to have adequately staffed Software Quality Assurance (SQA) teams. In general, the internal software community tests software applications but does not perform other necessary quality activities.

Poor quality control tends to increase executive dissatisfaction with the IT community, lower user satisfaction, and raise the odds that enterprises will want to shift to outsourcing vendors.

## The Economic Value of Software and Quality to Internal Software Users

As of mid-2010 the Bureau of Labor Statistics reported that employment for the United States is about 139,000,000. (Unfortunately, this number is down about 7,000,000 from the 2007 peak before the “Great Recession” when U.S. employment topped 146,000,000.)

Of these U.S. workers, about 20% are daily software and computer users, roughly 27,800,000. Some of these people who work for small companies use only a few commercial software packages such as spreadsheets and word processors. However, about 40% of these workers are employed by either companies or government agencies that are large enough to build internal software. In other words, there are about 11,120,000 workers who use internally developed software applications as part of their daily jobs.

Note that these users of computers and software are not “customers” in the sense that they personally pay for the software that they use. The software is provided by corporations and government agencies so that the workers can carry out their daily jobs.

Examples of common kinds of internal software used by companies circa 2011 include retail sales, order entry, accounts payable and receivable, airline and train reservations, hotel reservations, insurance claims handling, vehicle fleet control, automobile renting and leasing, shipping, and cruise line bookings. Other examples include hospital administration, Medicare and Medicaid, and software used by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for taxation.

In today’s world, internal development of software is primarily focused on applications that are specific to a certain business and hence not available from COTS vendors. Of course, the large enterprise-resource planning (ERP) companies such as SAP, Oracle, PeopleSoft, and the like have attempted to reduce the need for in-house development. Even the most complete ERP implementations still cover less than 50% of corporate software uses.

In the future, Software as a Service (SaaS), Service-oriented Architecture (SOA), and cloud computing will no doubt displace many in-house applications with equivalent Web-based services, but that time is still in the future.

The economic value of high-quality internal software to users and stakeholders has these factors:

- Reduction in cancelled projects
- Reduction in schedule delays
- Reduction in cost overruns

- Reduction in user resistance to new applications
- Rapid deployment of new applications
- Short learning curves to get up to speed in new applications
- Higher user satisfaction
- Higher reliability
- Better and more reliable customer service
- Reduced maintenance costs for released applications
- Reduced customer support costs for released applications

The economic consequences of low quality for internal users and stakeholders include

- Risk of cancelled projects
- Schedule delays for large applications
- Cost overruns for large applications
- Business transaction errors that damage customers or clients
- Business transaction errors that damage financial data
- Possible class-action litigation from disgruntled customers
- Possible litigation from customers suffering business losses
- Possible litigation from shareholders about poor quality
- Protracted delays in delivering new services
- Poor customer satisfaction
- High maintenance costs due to poor quality
- High customer support costs due to poor quality

For more than 50 years, internal software has been a mainstay of many corporations. However, due in large part to poor quality levels, a number of corporations and some government agencies are re-evaluating the costs and value of internal development. Outsourcing is increasing, and international outsourcing is increasing even more quickly.

Process improvements are also popular among internal software development groups. These range from being moderately successful to being extremely successful. As is discussed throughout this book, success tends to correlate with improved quality levels.

## The Economic Value of Software and Quality to Commercial Software Vendors

Because there are now thousands of commercial software companies and many thousands of commercial software applications, it is interesting to realize that this entire multibillion dollar industry is younger than many readers of this book.

The commercial software business is a by-product of the development of electronic computers and only began to emerge in the 1960s. Word processing only entered the commercial market in about 1969 as an evolution of electric typewriters augmented by storage for repetitive text such as forms and questionnaires.

Spreadsheets first became commercial products circa 1978 with VisiCalc, although there were earlier implementations of computerized spreadsheets by IBM and other computer and software companies.

A spreadsheet patent was filed in 1971 by Reny Pardo and Remy Landau. This patent became famous because it was originally rejected by the U.S. patent office as being pure mathematics. It was only in 1983 that the Patent Office was overruled by the courts and software implementations of mathematical algorithms were deemed to be patentable.

As this book is written, there are more than 77,000 software companies in the United States. The software industry has generated enormous revenue and enormous personal wealth for many founders such as Bill Gates of Microsoft, Steve Jobs of Apple, Larry Ellison of Oracle, Larry Page and Sergey Brin of Google, Jeff Bezos of Amazon, and quite a few more.

As of 2011, about 75% of the revenue of software companies comes from selling more and more copies of existing applications such as Windows and Microsoft Office. About 25% of the revenue of software companies comes from innovation or the creation of new kinds of products and services, as demonstrated by Google, Amazon, and hundreds of others.

This is an important distinction because in the long run innovation is the factor that generates the greatest economic value. Companies and industries that stop innovating will eventually lose revenues and market share due to saturation of the markets with existing products.

One other interesting issue about innovation is that of “copy cats.” After a new kind of software application hits the market, fast-followers often sweep

right into the same market with products that copy the original features but include new features as well. In the commercial software business these fast followers tend to be more successful than the original products, as can be seen by looking at the sales history of VisiCalc and Microsoft Excel.

A number of software companies are now among the largest and wealthiest companies in the world. There are also thousands of medium and small software companies as well as giants. Examples of software companies include CAST, Microsoft, Symantec, IBM, Google, Oracle, SAP, Iolo, Quicken, Computer Aid Inc. and hundreds of others.

The primary value topic for commercial software itself is direct revenue, with secondary value deriving from maintenance contracts, consulting services, and related applications.

For example, most companies that lease large enterprise resource-planning (ERP) packages such as Oracle also bring in consulting teams to help deploy the packages and train users. They almost always have maintenance contracts that can last for many years.

As to the economic value of software quality in a commercial context, the value of quality to the commercial vendors themselves stems from these topics:

- Reduced cancellation rates for large applications
- Earlier delivery dates for new applications
- Favorable reviews by the press and user groups
- Reduced development costs for new applications
- Reduced maintenance costs for released applications
- Reduced customer support costs for released applications
- Increased market share if quality is better than competitors
- Fewer security flaws in released applications

The economic consequences of low quality for commercial vendors include

- Possible class-action litigation from disgruntled customers
- Possible litigation from customers suffering business losses
- Protracted delays in delivering new applications
- Unfavorable reviews by the press and user associations
- Poor customer satisfaction

- Loss of customers if competitive quality is better
- High maintenance costs due to poor quality
- High customer support costs due to poor quality
- Elevated numbers of security flaws in released applications

The commercial software world has only a marginal reputation for software quality. Some companies such as IBM do a good job overall. Others such as Symantec, Oracle, and Microsoft might try to do good jobs but tend to release software with quite a few bugs still latent.

If the commercial software vendors were really at state-of-the-art levels of quality control, then software warranties would be both common and effective. Today, the bulk of commercial software “warranties” include only replacement of media. There are no guarantees that software will work effectively on the part of commercial software vendors.

## **The Economic Value of Software and Quality to COTS Users and Customers**

As of 2011 there are about 14,659,956 U.S. companies that use computers and software. But the largest 1,000 companies probably use 50% of the total quantity of COTS packages.

There are more than 150,000 government organizations that use computers and COTS software when federal, state, and municipal agencies are considered as a set (roughly 100,000 federal and military sites; 5,000 state sites; and 50,000 municipal sites).

The main economic reason that companies, government agencies, and individuals purchase or lease commercial software packages is that packaged software is the least expensive way of gaining access to the features and functions that the package offers.

If we need an accounting system, an inventory system, and billing system, or even something as fundamental as a word processor or a spreadsheet, then acquiring a package has been the most cost-effective method for more than 50 years.

For a company or user to attempt to develop applications with features that match those in commercial packages, it would take months or even years. We buy software for the same reason we buy washing machines and automobiles: they are available right now; they can be put to use immediately; and if we are lucky, they will not have too many bugs or defects.

In the future, SaaS, SOA, and cloud computing will no doubt displace many applications with equivalent Web-based services. However, this trend is only

just beginning and will probably take another ten years or more to reach maturity.

The open source companies such as Mozilla and the availability of Web-based packages such as Google Applications and Open Office are starting to offer alternatives to commercial packages that are free in many cases. As of 2011 usage of commercial software is still more common than usage of open source or Web-based alternatives, but when the two compete head-to-head, the open source versions seem to be adding new customers at a faster rate.

Examples of companies that use COTS packages include manufacturing companies, law firms, medical offices, small hospitals, small banks, retail stores, and thousands of other companies. All government units at the federal, state, and municipal levels use COTS packages in large numbers, as do the military services. These enterprises use the software to either raise their own profitability or to lower operating costs, or both.

The economic value of high software quality to corporate and government consumers of commercial software has these factors:

- Rapid deployment of COTS applications
- Quicker deployment of new services and functions
- Short learning curves to get up to speed in COTS applications
- Minimizing the risks of in-house development
- Minimizing the risks of outsource development
- Reduced maintenance costs for released applications
- Reduced customer support costs for released applications

The economic consequences of low quality for COTS users include

- Business transaction errors that damage customers or clients
- Business transaction errors that damage financial data
- Possible class-action litigation from disgruntled customers
- Possible litigation from customers suffering business losses
- Possible litigation from shareholders about poor quality
- Protracted delays in delivering new services
- Poor customer satisfaction

- High maintenance costs due to poor quality
- High customer support costs due to poor quality

As an example of the hazards of poor quality from COTS packages, one of the authors lives in Narragansett, Rhode Island. When the town acquired a new property tax software package, tax bills were about a month late in being sent to homeowners, and there were many errors in the calculations. The system also lagged in producing financial reports for the town council. Eventually, the package was withdrawn, and an alternate package from another vendor was used in its place.

A study by one of the authors of the corporate software portfolio for a large manufacturing company in the Fortune 500 class found that out of 3,200 applications in the portfolio, 1,120 were COTS packages acquired from about 75 different vendors.

The total initial cost for these COTS applications was about \$168,000,000. Annual leases amounted to about \$42,000,000.

Approximately 149 people were devoted exclusively to the operation and maintenance of the COTS packages. In a single year, about 18,072 high-severity bugs were reported against the COTS applications in the portfolio.

Indeed, bugs in COTS packages are so common that one large commercial software vendor was sued by its own shareholders, who claimed that poor quality was lowering the value of their investments. The case was settled, but the fact that such a case was even filed illustrates that the COTS vendors need better quality control.

COTS packages are valuable and useful to be sure. But due to the marginal to poor software quality practices of the commercial vendors, customers and users need to expect and prepare for significant quantities of bugs or defects and significant staffing and effort to keep COTS packages up and running.

## **The Economic Value of Software and Quality to Embedded Software Companies**

Embedded software is present in thousands of products in 2011. For consumer products such as digital watches, digital cameras, smart phones, and similar devices, high quality is fairly common; bugs or low quality is fairly rare.

For more complex devices that might affect human life or safety, the quality levels are still quite good, but bugs or errors can have serious consequences for both those who use the software and for the companies that produce the devices. Bugs or errors in medical devices, automobile brake systems, aircraft navigation devices, and weapons systems can lead to death, injuries, and enormous recall and recovery costs.

The economic value of software for embedded software producers derives primarily from sales of physical equipment rather than the software itself. Examples of companies that create devices with embedded software include Boeing, Motorola, AT&T, Nokia, medical equipment companies such as Advanced Bionics, and many others. In total probably 10,000 companies produce embedded devices and the software within them.

As of 2011, about 45% of the revenue of embedded software companies has come from selling more and more copies of existing products such as digital watches, digital hearing aids, and digital cameras.

About 55% of the revenue of embedded software companies has come from innovation or the creation of new kinds of products and services that did not exist before, such as cochlear implants, the Amazon Kindle and other eBook readers, and the control systems of modern automobiles and aircraft.

The economic value of software quality inside embedded software devices stems from these topics:

- Reduced cancellation rates for complex devices
- Creating new kinds of devices never marketed before
- Earlier delivery of new devices
- Rapid approvals by government oversight organizations
- Rapid customer acceptance of new devices
- Reduced development costs for new devices
- Reduced maintenance costs for released devices
- Reduced customer support costs for released devices
- Increased market share if quality is better than competitors

The economic consequences of low quality for embedded vendors include

- Possible criminal charges if devices causes death or injury
- Possible class-action litigation from disgruntled customers
- Possible litigation from customers suffering business losses
- Possible government action against medical devices for poor quality
- Possible shareholder litigation for poor quality
- Protracted or negative approvals by government oversight groups

- Poor customer satisfaction
- Loss of customers if competitor's quality is better
- High maintenance costs for devices with poor-quality software
- High customer support costs for devices with poor-quality software

Because complex devices won't operate without high-quality software, the embedded software world has one of the best reputations for software quality and also for customer support. Some companies such as Advanced Bionics, Motorola, Apple, and Garmin do a good job overall.

A sign of better-than-average quality control in the embedded domain is the fact that many embedded device companies have product warranties. In general, warranties are more common and more complete for embedded software than for other forms of software.

## The Economic Value of Software and Quality to Embedded Equipment Users

The economic value of software to users of equipment controlled by embedded software is the ability to operate complex devices that would not exist without the embedded software. Examples of such devices include robotic manufacturing, undersea oil exploration, medical equipment such as MRI devices and cochlear implants, navigation packages on board ships and aircraft, and all forms of modern communication including television, radio, and wireless.

The major difference between embedded software and other kinds of software is that users are operating physical devices and might not even be aware that the devices are controlled by software. Even if users know that embedded software is in a device, they have no direct control over the software other than the controls on the devices themselves. For example, users can make many adjustments to digital cameras but only by means of using the knobs, buttons, and screens that the cameras have and not by direct changes to the embedded software itself.

The main economic reason that companies, government agencies, and individuals acquire embedded devices is because there are no other alternatives available. You either have a computerized magnetic resonance imaging device (MRI) or you can't perform that kind of diagnosis. There are no other choices.

Embedded devices have also lowered the costs and expanded features in many consumer products. For example, a number of modern digital watches integrate standard time keeping with stop watches, elapsed time keeping, and even tide calculations and the phases of the moon.

Modern digital cameras have a host of functions that were not available on normal film cameras, such as electronic zooming in addition to optical zooming; red-eye correction; and the ability to switch between still and animated photography at will.

Usage of embedded devices has been growing exponentially for about 25 years. As of 2011 at least 150,000,000 U.S. citizens own digital watches, digital cameras, or other personal embedded devices.

Approximately 1,500,000 U.S. patients have digital pacemakers, and the rate of increase is more than 5% per year. There are more than 5,000 installed MRI devices, and more than 1,000,000 U.S. patients undergo MRI diagnoses per year.

According to Wikipedia about 30,000 U.S. citizens now hear as a result of having cochlear implant surgery. (Cochlear implants use a combination of an external microphone and an internal computer surgically implanted under the skin. Small wires from the processor replace the damaged cilia in the inner ear. Cochlear implant devices are fully software controlled, and the software can be upgraded as necessary.)

Most modern factories for complex devices such as automobiles are now either fully or partly equipped with robotic machine tools.

Almost all modern automobiles now use embedded devices for controlling anti-lock brakes (which would otherwise be impossible); fuel injection; navigation packages; and in some cases controlling suspension and steering. Automobile entertainment devices such as satellite radios, standard radios, DVD players, and the like are also controlled by embedded devices and software.

The economic value of high embedded software quality to corporate and government consumers involves these factors:

- New features and functions only available from embedded devices
- Onsite upgrades to new embedded software versions
- Reduced maintenance due to reduction in mechanical parts
- Rapid deployment of new equipment
- Fewer product malfunctions
- Quicker deployment of new services and functions

The economic consequences of low quality for embedded device users include

- Possible death or injury from software bugs in medical devices
- Possible death or injury from software bugs in automobiles

- Possible death or injury from software bugs in aircraft
- Disruption of robotic manufacturing due to bugs or errors
- Inability to make repairs without replacing embedded devices
- Possible class-action litigation from disgruntled customers
- Possible litigation from customers suffering business losses
- Protracted delays in delivering new services
- Poor customer satisfaction
- High customer support costs due to poor quality

Modern devices controlled by embedded software are one of the greatest machine revolutions in all of history. There are now dozens of complicated devices such as drone aircraft, remotely controlled submarines, MRI medical devices, cochlear implants, and robotic manufacturing that would be impossible without embedded devices and the software that controls them.

Embedded software and embedded devices are advancing medical diagnosis and medical treatments for conditions such as deafness and heart conditions. Today, there are thousands of embedded devices carrying out functions that were totally impossible before about 1975.

### **The Economic Value of Software and Software Quality to Other Business Sectors**

There are a number of other business sectors that might be discussed in the context of the value of software and the value of software quality. Among these can be found

- Outsource software vendors and outsource software clients and users
- Defense software vendors and defense software clients and users
- Systems software vendors and systems software clients and users
- Open source software vendors and open source software clients and users
- Gaming software vendors and gaming software clients and users
- Smart phone software vendors and smart phone software clients and users

The views of both value and the value of software quality in these business sectors, however, are similar to the sectors already discussed. High quality benefits costs, schedules, and customer satisfaction. Low quality leads to cost and schedule overruns and dissatisfied customers.

### **Multiple Roles Occurring Simultaneously**

Many large enterprises have multiple roles going on simultaneously. For example, a major corporation such as AT&T performs all of these roles at the same time:

- They build internal IT software for their own use.
- They build web applications for marketing and customer support.
- They build embedded software for sale or lease to clients.
- They build systems software for sale or lease to clients.
- They commission domestic outsource groups to build software under contract.
- They commission offshore outsource groups to build software under contract.
- They purchase and lease COTS packages.
- They acquire and utilize open source software.
- They offer business services that depend upon software.

This is a very common pattern. Many large corporations build and consume software of multiple types. However, regardless of the pattern, software quality is a critical success factor on both the development and the consumption sides of the equation.

---

## **Summary and Conclusions**

The topic of software quality has been difficult to define for more than 50 years. The topic of economic value has been difficult to define for more than 150 years. When the two topics are combined into a single book, it is necessary to start by considering all of the alternatives that surround both terms.

The economic value of software needs to be analyzed from both the production and consumption sides of the equation.

The value of software quality needs to be analyzed in terms of the benefits of high quality and the harmful consequences of poor quality. And here, too, both the production and consumption of software need to be considered:

High quality	Value to producers
	Value to stakeholders and financial backers
	Value to users and consumers
Low quality	Risks and hazards to producers
	Risks and hazards to stakeholders and financiers
	Risks and hazards to users and consumers

The essential message that will be demonstrated later in the book is that a high level of software quality will raise the economic value of software for the producers, financiers, and the consumers of software applications.

Conversely, low software quality levels will degrade the economic value of software for both the producers and consumers of software applications.

But achieving high levels of software quality needs effective defect prevention, effective pretest defect removal, effective testing, effective quality estimation, effective quality measurements, effective teams, and effective management. Testing alone has never been sufficient to achieve high-quality software.

# Index

- Ada programming language, 434
- Aesthetic quality, 11, 15
- Agile development
  - antagonisms, 127, 136
  - embedded users, 136
  - at IBM, 257
  - iterations, 136
  - at large companies, 257–258
  - meetings, 223
  - overview, 136
  - sprints, 136, 223
  - stand-ups, 223
  - testing, 317
  - unit test, 285
- Aid to the handicapped, 459–460
- Airbus A380 wiring defects, litigation, 413–414
- Akao, Yoji, 62
- Albrecht, Allan, 164–165, 170
- Algorithmic complexity, 150
- Algorithms
  - extracting from code, 167
  - extracting from legacy code, 47
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
  - requirements gathering, 218
- Alpha testing, 318
- Analyzing similar applications, 49
- Antitrust investigation, IBM, 434
- APAR (authorized program analysis report), 371
- Application resource imbalances, 91–92
- Applications. *See also* Embedded software; Size of applications.
  - components of, 52
  - financial value, requirements gathering, 219
  - tangible value of, 535–536
  - useful life, 529–535
  - work value of, 219
- Applied Software Measurement*, 40, 98
- Appmarq repository, 138, 465–470
- Architecture
  - bypassing, 88–90
  - defect prevention, 128–129
  - inspections, pretest defect removal, 204–205
  - software defect potentials, 41
- Attributes of software quality. *See* Software quality, factors; *specific attributes*.
- Authorized program analysis report (APAR), 371
- Automated quality predictions, 136–137
- Automated static analysis of source code, 267–276
- Automatic testing
  - cost and effort, estimating, 338–339
  - estimating test case volume, 333
  - frequency of use, 289
  - overview, 293–294
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336
  - stages, 284
- Automobile industry, analogy to software industry, 446–447
- Automobiles, embedded software, 31
- Availability, static analysis, 268
- Average quality projects
  - economic value of, 16
  - effort, *vs.* high/low quality projects, 492–494
- Babbage, Charles, 434, 454
- Backfiring, 66–69
- Bad fixes
  - litigation, 286–287
  - measuring software quality, 38
  - origin of term, 38
- Benchmark groups, 138

- Benchmarks of software quality data
  - Appmarq repository, 138, 465–470
  - benchmark groups, 138
  - client reviews of specifications, 237
  - defect prevention, 137–138
  - overview, 137–138
- Best practices, 244
- Beta testing. *See* Field Beta testing.
- Bezos, Jeff, 24
- Black, Rex, 286
- Black box testing, 291–293
- Books and publications
  - Applied Software Measurement*, 40, 98
  - Estimating Software Costs*, 40
  - The Mythical Man Month*, 227, 247, 380
  - Quality Is Free*, 146, 243
  - Software Assessments...and Best Practices*, 40
  - Software Engineering Best Practices*, 40
- BP drilling bug, 4
- Breach of contract, litigation, 382–384
- Brin, Sergey, 24
- Brooks, Fred, 227, 247, 380
- Bug fixes
  - bad, 38, 286–287
  - manpower requirements, 3
- Bugs. *See* Defects; *specific bugs*.
- Business agility, economic value of software quality, 472–473
- Business data, computer use in the United States, 2
- Business losses due to poor quality, 474–475
- Business productivity, economic value of software quality, 473–474
- Business risks, 181
- Business rules
  - extracting from code, 47, 167
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
- Business topics, requirements gathering, 219
- Business value, economic value of software quality, 470–475
- Bypassing the architecture, 88–90
- C++ *vs.* CHILL, 105
- Call center performance, 427
- Cancellation of projects
  - 10,000 function point size, 3
  - cost, 3, 537–538
  - effort, effects of software quality, 492
  - litigation, 3, 464–465
  - rates, effects of software quality, 490–491
  - reasons for, 492–494
  - timing of, 491
- Capability Maturity Model (CMM), 139
- Capability Maturity Model Integrated (CMMI), 136, 138–139
- Capacity testing, 303
- Capture-recapture method, 264
- Cars. *See* Automobiles.
- CAST
  - structural quality *vs.* severe defects, 85–87
  - study of software quality, 465
- Certification
  - effects on economic value of software quality, 478–479
  - of organizations, 141
  - of professional skills, 140–141
  - of reusable materials, 133
- Certification groups, 140–141. *See also specific groups*.
- Certified reusable materials, 132–133
- Certified reuse combined with patterns, 125–126
- Change control problems, litigation, 382
- Changeability, 9
- CHECKPOINT tool, 106–107
- CHILL *vs.* C++, 105
- Clean room testing, 311–312
- Client reviews, pretest defect removal effort and costs, 200
  - per 1,000 function points, 198
  - per 10,000 function points, 204, 206
  - specifications, 235–237
- Cloud testing, 313
- CMM (Capability Maturity Model), 139
- CMMI (Capability Maturity Model Integrated), 136, 138–139
- Cochlear implants, 31, 51
- Code complexity, 150–151
- Code counting, 68

- Code inspections. *See* Formal inspections.
- Coding
  - conventions for maintainability, 82
  - defect prevention, 129–130
- Coding defects
  - backfiring, 66–69
  - code counting, 68
  - converting between function points and logical code statements, 67
  - by language level, 70
  - language levels, 65–67, 70
  - logical code statements *vs.* physical lines of code, 67–68
  - overview, 65–71
  - quantifying function point totals, 66
  - software defect potentials, 41
  - source code volume, determining, 67–69
  - summary of, 41
- Combinatorial complexity, 150–151, 335
- Comments, clarity and completeness, 360
- Commercial software. *See* COTS (commercial off the shelf) software.
- Communication, economic value of software, 457–460
- Compatibility testing. *See* Platform testing.
- Completeness, requirements, 52–55
- Complex equipment operations, economic value of software, 455–456
- Complexity
  - algorithmic, 150
  - code, 150–151
  - combinatorial, 150–151
  - computational, 151
  - cyclomatic, 149–150, 155
  - data, 151
  - defect prevention, 149–155
  - diagnostic, 151
  - entropic, 151
  - essential, 151–152
  - fan, 152
  - flow, 152
  - function point, 152
  - graph, 152
  - Halstead, 152
  - information, 152–153
  - logical, 153
  - mnemonic, 153
  - most significant to software quality, 154–155
  - organizational, 153
  - perceptual, 153
  - problem, 153
  - process, 154
  - root causes, 155
  - semantic, 154
  - syntactic, 154
  - topologic, 154
- Component testing. *See* New function testing.
- Computational complexity, 151
- Computer gaming, testing stages, 327
- Computer use in the United States
  - business data, 2
  - military data, 2
  - by occupation, 6
  - overview (2010), 2–3
  - personal data, 2
  - personal use circa 2011, 5
- Conformance to requirements, software defect potentials, 45
- Consumerist website, 409
- Contact method, 225
- Contract revenue, 450
- Control flow
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 47
  - requirements gathering, 219
- COQ (Cost of Quality)
  - defect prevention, 146–148
  - economic value of software quality, 523–529
  - requirements gathering, 219
- COS (cost of security), 415
- Cost drivers, function point metrics, 168–169
- Cost reductions, economic value of software
  - aid to the handicapped, 459–460
  - communication, 457–460
  - data collection and analysis, 456–457
  - email, 459
  - high-frequency recurring calculations, 455

- Cost reductions, economic value of  
 software (*cont.*)  
 in manual effort, 455  
 operating complex equipment,  
 455–456  
 overview, 454–455  
 social networks, 460  
 training programs, 457
- Cost-per-defect metric. *See also* Metrics.  
 abeyant defects, 366  
*vs.* cost per function point, 143–145  
 defect prevention, 142–145  
 economic analysis, 96–97, 110–115  
 flaws, 96–97, 110–115  
 good quality product, 111–114  
 invalid defect reports, 364  
 penalty for quality, 142–145  
 poor quality product, 111  
 quality *vs.* cost per defect, 112–115  
 used by IBM, 110  
 zero defect product, 114–115
- Costs  
 canceled projects, effects of software  
 quality, 3, 537–538  
 software development, economic value  
 of software quality, 487–489  
 tracking, 97–104
- Costs, of software quality. *See also*  
 Economic value of software  
 quality.  
 certification of reusable materials, 133  
 client reviews, pretest defect  
 removal, 200  
 COQ (Cost of Quality), 415  
 COS (cost of security), 415  
 desk checking, pretest defect  
 removal, 200  
 function point analysis, 138  
 litigation, 384, 391  
 maintenance and support, 511–513  
 maintenance of error-prone modules,  
 407–408  
 manpower for bug fixes, 3–4  
 per function point, 3  
 post-release defect repairs, 37–38,  
 388–392, 424–425  
 post-release defects, 409–414  
 by program size, 4  
 project cancellation, 3  
 by quality level, 4  
 requirements, software defect  
 potentials, 47  
 requirements gathering, 218  
 security flaws, 414–415  
 software enhancements, 515–516  
 testing, estimating, 337–342
- COTS (commercial off the shelf)  
 software  
 requirements, 55  
 testing stages, 327–328
- COTS (commercial off the shelf)  
 software users  
 defining value of software quality,  
 26–28  
 economic consequences of low  
 quality, 27–28  
 examples of, 27  
 history of, 26–27  
 number of, 2011, 26  
 open-source competition, 27  
 value of high quality, 27
- COTS (commercial off the shelf)  
 software vendors  
 defining value of software quality,  
 24–26  
 economic consequences of low quality,  
 25–26  
 examples of, 25  
 history of, 24–25  
 litigation against, 381–384  
 value of high quality, 25
- Counting rules, 167
- Critical violations, 352–358, 466
- Crosby, Phil, 146, 243
- Cullinane, 434
- Curtis, Bill, 139, 231, 470
- Custom software development. *See*  
 Internal software development.
- Customer defect reports, IBM studies,  
 404–409
- Customer satisfaction  
 economic value of software  
 quality, 474  
 IBM studies of, 302, 390  
 SQA (software quality assurance)  
 measurement, 245
- Cyber attacks, increase from  
 2008 to 2009, 7

- Cyclomatic complexity
  - IBM studies of, 298, 321
  - maintainability of software, 359
  - measuring, 149–150
  - root causes, 155
- Data collection and analysis, economic
  - value of software, 456–457
- Data complexity, 151
- Data mining, function point metrics, 167
- Data types, 2
- Databases
  - defect prevention, 131
  - software defect potentials, 42
- DDE (defect detection efficiency)
  - current U.S. average, 38
  - vs.* DRE, 38, 75
  - economic value of software
    - quality, 504
  - IBM efficiency studies, 74–76
  - measuring software quality, 38
- Defect prevention
  - by application size, 133–135
  - certified reusable materials, 132–133
  - definition, 37
  - efficiency levels, 120
  - formal testing, effects of, 121
  - IBM historical data, 72
  - IBM studies of, 119–125
  - measuring software quality, 37
  - negative results of, 122
  - origin of term, 37
  - patterns, 132–133
  - test coverage, 120
- Defect prevention, defect origins
  - architecture, 128–129
  - coding, 129–130
  - databases, 131
  - design, 129
  - requirements, 128
  - test cases, 130
  - test plans, 130
  - user documentation, 131
  - websites, 131–132
- Defect prevention, defect potentials. *See also* Software defect potentials.
  - architecture, 128–129
  - coding, 129–130
  - databases, 131
  - design, 129
  - requirements, 128
  - test cases, 130
  - test plans, 130
  - user documentation, 131
  - websites, 131–132
- Defect prevention, estimating
  - below average methods, 73
  - definition, 71
  - effective methods, 72–73
  - harmful methods, 73
  - IBM historical data, 72
  - methods for, 72–73
  - neutral methods, 73
  - required data points, 71–72
- Defect prevention, formal inspections
  - combined with formal testing, 121
  - defect removal, 121–122
  - effects of, 120–121
  - quantifying results, 122
- Defect prevention, methodologies. *See also* Defect prevention, results analysis.
  - antagonistic methods, 126–127
  - certified reuse combined with patterns, 125–126
  - embedded software, 126
  - harmful combinations, 127
  - IBM, 122
  - increasing defect potentials, 127
  - large business applications, 126
  - military and defense software, 126
  - relative efficiency, 123–125
  - small business applications, 126
  - synergistic combinations, 125–127
  - technical software, 126
- Defect prevention, results analysis.
  - See also* Defect prevention, methodologies.
  - Agile embedded users, 136
  - automated quality predictions, 136–137
  - benchmarks of software quality data, 137–138
  - certification of organizations, 141
  - certification of professional skills, 140–141
  - CMMI (Capability Maturity Model Integrated), 138–139

- Defect prevention, results analysis.
  - See also* Defect prevention, methodologies. (*cont.*)
  - complexity, 149–155
  - COQ (Cost of Quality), 146–148
  - cost-per-defect metrics, 142–145
  - defect measurements, 156–158
  - defect tracking, 156–158
  - formal inspections, 159–164
  - function point quality metrics, 164–171
  - overview, 135
  - QFD (Quality Function Deployment), 174–177
  - risk analysis, 177–184
  - Six Sigma, 184–185
  - standards, 171–174
  - static analysis, 185–188
- Defect rates
  - cyclomatic complexity, 298, 321
  - effects of requirements changes, 54
- Defect removal efficiency (DRE). *See* DRE (defect removal efficiency).
- Defects
  - IBM studies of, 367–368
  - measuring, 156–158
  - origins and discovery points, 162–163
  - root-cause analysis, 429
  - tracking, 156–158
- Defensive mechanisms, lack of, 93–94
- Deliverables, inspections, 255
- Delivered defect severity levels, 509
- Deming, W. Edwards, 227
- Department of Defense (DoD),
  - productivity measures, 100
- Department of Homeland Security, 306
- Design erosion, 82
- Design inspections, 205, 249
- Design stage
  - defect prevention, 129
  - software defect potentials, 41
- Desk checking, pretest defect removal
  - effort and costs, 200
  - overview, 208–209
  - per 1,000 function points, 198
  - per 10,000 function points, 204, 206
- Developer-originated features, 52
- Development cost per function point, 489–490
- Development productivity rates, 486–487
- Diagnostic complexity, 151
- Digital pacemakers, embedded
  - software, 31
- Direct revenue, 450
- Disposable prototyping, 61
- Documents, requirements gathering, 217–219
- DoD (Department of Defense),
  - productivity measures, 100
- Dot.com companies, 457–458
- Dot.com crash, 458
- DRE (defect removal efficiency), 228–229. *See also* Pretest defect removal.
  - calculating, 38
  - current U.S. average, 38
  - vs.* DDE, 38, 75
  - economic value of software quality, 504–505
  - field Beta testing, 291
  - formal inspections, 160, 162
  - functional testing, 290–291
  - hours for field defects, 114–115
  - IBM efficiency studies, 74–76
  - inspections, 250–253, 255–256
  - measuring software quality, 38
  - quantifying results, 122
  - static analysis, 186
  - subroutine testing, 290–291
  - system test, 291
  - testing, 291
  - by testing stage, 341
- Ebombs, 7
- Economic analysis
  - cost tracking errors, 97–104
  - cost tracking methods, 104
  - cost-per-defect metric, 96–97, 110–115
  - distortion factors, 95–97
  - historical data leakage, 96, 97–104
  - LOC (lines of code) metric, 96, 105–110
- Economic value of software. *See also* Economic value of software quality; Measuring software quality.
  - commercial software vendors, 24–26
  - concurrent simultaneous roles, 33
  - COTS users, 26–28
  - defining software quality, 17–19

- embedded equipment users, 30–32
  - embedded software companies, 28–30
  - executives, 18
  - history of, 18–19
  - internal software development, 19–21
  - internal software users, 22–24
  - other business sectors, 32–33
  - quality factors, 16–17
- Economic value of software, cost
  - reductions
    - aid to the handicapped, 459–460
    - communication, 457–460
    - data collection and analysis, 456–457
    - email, 459
    - high-frequency recurring
      - calculations, 455
    - in manual effort, 455
    - operating complex equipment,
      - 455–456
    - overview, 454–455
    - social networks, 460
    - training programs, 457
- Economic value of software, measuring
  - commercial estimation tools, 436
  - financial and accounting methods, 436
  - overview, 435–436
  - potential future value, 436
  - risk factors, 437–441
  - value factors, 437–441
- Economic value of software, revenue
  - generation
    - contract revenue, 450
    - direct revenue, existing clients, 450
    - direct revenue, new clients, 450
    - hardware drag-along revenue, 451
    - hardware revenue, 451
    - illegal, 452
    - indirect revenue, 450–451
    - intellectual property, 451
    - intellectual property violations, 452
    - patent violations, 452
    - patents, 451
    - phishing, 452
    - piracy, 452
    - software books and journals, 452
    - software drag-along revenue, 451
    - software personnel agencies, 452
    - teaching software skills, 451–452
    - theft of vital records, 452
- Economic value of software quality. *See also* Costs, of software quality.
  - commercial software vendors, 25–26
  - construction difficulties, 444–449
  - COTS users, 27–28
  - developing for speed *vs.* quality,
    - 448–449
  - embedded equipment users, 31–32
  - embedded software companies,
    - 29–30
  - internal software development, 21
  - internal software users, 23
  - quality levels, 16–17
- Economic value of software quality,
  - factors affecting
    - certification, 478–479
    - functional quality, 476
    - project management, 480–481
    - quality control methods, 481–484
    - structural quality, 476–477
    - technology investment, 479
    - tools, 481–484
    - training, 477–478
- Economic value of software quality,
  - high/low levels
    - business losses due to poor quality,
      - 474–475
    - canceled project costs, 537–538
    - canceled project effort, 492
    - COQ (Cost of Quality), 523–529
    - critical violations, 466
    - customer satisfaction improvement, 474
    - DDE (defect detection efficiency), 504
    - defect potentials, 501–503
    - delivered defect severity levels, 509
    - developing software, 461–462
    - development cost per function point,
      - 489–490
    - development costs, 487–489
    - development effort, 486
    - development productivity rates,
      - 486–487
    - DRE (defect removal efficiency),
      - 504–505
    - effort, *vs.* average-quality projects,
      - 492–494
    - enhancing software, 514–516
    - human effort reduction, 463
    - increasing business agility, 472–473

- Economic value of software quality,
  - high/low levels (*cont.*)
  - maintenance and support, 461–462, 511–513
  - maintenance defect volumes, 513–514
  - per 10,000 function points, 541–544
  - post-release defects, 507–509
  - primary impacts, 460–461
  - product innovation, 463–465
  - productivity improvement, 473–474
  - professional testers, 500–501
  - project cancellation rates, 490–491
  - project distribution, by quality level, 538–540
  - quantifying business value, 470–475
  - reducing business risks, 471–472
  - reliability, 510–511
  - ROI (Return on Investment), 536–537
  - schedules, 484
  - severe defects per function point, 509–510
  - software as a marketed commodity, 462
  - staffing, 484–486, 516–517
  - tangible value of applications, 535–536
  - TCO (total cost of ownership), 520–529
  - technical debt, 465–470
  - test cases per function point, 497–498
  - test coverage, 498–500
  - test stages, 494–496
  - testing as a percent of development, 496–497
  - timing of canceled projects, 491
  - total defect removal, 505–507
  - total effort for five years, 517–520
  - total software defects, 503–504
  - useful life of applications, 529–535
- Editing user documentation, 265–267
- Egypt riots, effects of social networking, 460
- Electromagnetic pulse (EMP), 7
- Electronic voting machine problems, 45
- Ellison, Larry, 24
- Email, economic value of software, 459
- Embedded equipment users
  - defining value of software quality, 30–32
  - economic consequences of low quality, 31–32
  - value of high quality, 31
- Embedded software
  - automobiles, 31
  - cochlear implants, 31
  - defect prevention, 126
  - digital pacemakers, 31
  - litigation, 411
  - MRI devices, 31
  - vs.* other kinds of software, 30
  - requirements, 55
  - software industry, 2
  - uses for, 30–31
- Embedded software companies
  - defining value of software quality, 28–30
  - economic consequences of low quality, 29–30
  - quality, *vs.* internal software development, 21
  - value of high quality, 29
- Embedded users
  - Agile development, 136
  - minimizing requirements defects, 58–59
- EMP (electromagnetic pulse), 7
- Employment, United States, 22
- End-user license agreement (EULA), 381, 453
- End-user software, testing stages, 325–326
- Engineering population of the United States, 3
- Entities and relationships, 46, 218
- Entropic complexity, 151
- Entropy, maintainability of software, 359
- Ericsson, John, 271
- Error-prone modules
  - history of, 407
  - IBM studies of, 302
  - identifying, 408
  - maintainability of software, 360
  - maintenance costs, 407–408
  - post-release defect potentials, 404–409

- removing and replacing, 408
- social problems associated with, 408–409
- Essential complexity, 151–152
- Estimating. *See also* Measuring.
  - automatic testing costs, 338–339
  - DDE, 74–76
  - DRE, 74–76
  - personnel requirements, 335–337
  - size of applications, 52–55
  - software defect potentials, 115–116
  - software defect prevention. *See* Defect prevention, estimating.
  - test case volume, 333
  - testing costs, 337–342
- Estimating, defect prevention
  - below average methods, 73
  - definition, 71
  - effective methods, 72–73
  - harmful methods, 73
  - IBM historical data, 72
  - methods for, 72–73
  - neutral methods, 73
  - required data points, 71–72
- Estimating Software Costs*, 40
- Estimation problems, litigation, 383
- Ethical risks, 182
- EULA (end-user license agreement), 381, 453
- Evolutionary prototyping, 61
- Execution, definition, 288
- Executives, defining value of software quality, 18
- Expandability, 11
- Extensibility, 11
- External risks, 181
- Extreme programming (XP) unit test, 296
- Factors in software quality. *See* Software quality, factors.
- Fagan, Michael, 120, 160, 253
- Failure to set processing limits, 90
- False positives, 282
- Fan complexity, 152
- FBI, sharing computer security information, 305
- Feature bloat, 55
- Feature races, 55
- Field Beta testing, 291, 318–319
- Financial and accounting measurements
  - of software value, 436
- Financial risks, 180
- Financial value of applications,
  - requirements gathering, 219
- Fixed-value method, 225
- Flesch, Rudolf, 211
- Flesch index, 211–213
- Flexibility, 11
- Flow complexity, 152
- Forensic analysis of legacy code, 47
- Formal inspections
  - antagonisms, 136
  - capture-recapture method, 264
  - code, 249
  - in conjunction with static analysis, 257–258
  - criteria for, 161, 254
  - current usage, 159, 256–257
  - data collected, 258–259
  - defect origins and discovery points, 162–163
  - defect prevention, 159–164
  - defect removal efficiency, 160, 162
  - deliverables, 161, 255
  - design, 249
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 250–253, 255–256
  - efficiency, 160
  - formal testing, 250
  - group dynamics, 255
  - history of, 159–164, 249
  - at IBM, 64, 249, 495
  - logistical issues, 261–262
  - minimizing requirements defects, 62–64
  - number of participants, 254–255
  - overview, 159–164
  - permutations of methods, 250–252
  - preparation and execution guidelines, 263
  - quality assurance, 249
  - sample defects, 260–261
  - software requirements, 62–64
  - tagging and releasing defects, 264
  - time required, 262

- Formal inspections, defect prevention
  - combined with formal testing, 121
  - defect removal, 121–122
  - effects of, 120–121
  - quantifying results, 122
- Formal testing, 250
- Freezing requirements changes, 54
- Frequency distribution, customer-reported defects, 302
- Function points
  - analysis, cost, 138
  - complexity, 152
  - converting between logical code statements, 67
  - cost of software, 3
  - cost-per-defect metrics, 143–145
  - largest known projects, 444
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 335–337
  - quantifying totals, 66
  - software defect potentials by, 43
  - test case volume, estimating, 332–335
  - testing, estimating cost and effort, 337–342
- Function points, quality metrics
  - adjustment factors, 166–167
  - algorithms, extracting from code, 167
  - benchmark groups, 138
  - business rules, extracting from code, 167
  - cost drivers, 168–169
  - counting rules, 167
  - current average defect levels, 170
  - data mining, 167
  - defect prevention, 164–171
  - developed by IBM, 66
  - history of, 165
  - inputs, 165
  - inquiries, 165
  - interfaces, 165
  - language levels, 167–168
  - LOC (lines of code) metric, 169
  - logical files, 165
  - outputs, 165
  - overview, 164–171
  - SLOC (source lines of code), 167
  - sociological factors, 170
  - software defect potentials, 39
  - uses for, 169
- Functional quality, 268, 476
- Functional testing, 290–291, 293
- Funding projects, 437–444
- Gack, Gary, 253, 322
- Gamma testing, 318
- Gates, Bill, 24
- Geneen, Harold, 243
- General testing
  - cost and effort, estimating, 338
  - estimating test case volume, 333
  - forms of, 294–302. *See also specific forms.*
  - frequency of use, 289
  - overview, 283–284
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336
- Gilb, Tom, 160, 253–254
- Glass box testing. *See* White box testing.
- Grade-level readability scores, 212
- Graph complexity, 152
- Growth
  - handling over time, 48–49
  - rate *vs.* schedules, 53
- Gunning, Robert, 212
- Gunning Fog index, 212–213
- Halstead, Maurice, 152
- Halstead complexity, 152
- Hamer-Hodges, Ken, 306
- Handicapped aids, 459–460
- Hardware drag-along revenue, 451
- Hardware platforms
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 47
  - requirements gathering, 218
- Hardware revenue, 451
- Health risks, 178
- Hewlett Packard's algorithm for replacing ink cartridges, 409–410
- High quality, value of. *See also* Economic value of software quality, high/low levels.
  - commercial software vendors, 25
  - COTS users, 27
  - embedded equipment users, 31
  - embedded software companies, 29
  - internal software development, 22–23

- Historical data leakage, 96, 97–104
- Hollerith, Herman, 454
- House of quality, 62
- Human effort reduction, economic value
  - of software quality, 463
- Humphrey, Watts, 139, 295
- Hunter, Justin, 322
- IBM
  - Agile development, 257
  - antitrust investigation, 434
  - APAR (authorized program analysis report), 371
  - bad fixes, origin of, 38
  - bypassing requirements, 52
  - capture-recapture method, 264
  - clean room testing, 311–312
  - cost-per-defect metric, 110
  - defect prevention, 37, 72, 122
  - formal inspections, 62–64, 159, 249
  - function point quality metrics, 66, 165
  - JAD (joint application design), 58
  - key defect potentials, 37
  - latent defects, 74–76, 347–348
  - maintenance, definition, 511
  - open door policy, 481
  - phase reviews, 247–248
  - PTM (program trouble memorandum), 371
  - quantifying language levels, 65–66
  - release numbering, 74–76
  - severity levels, 281–282
  - SQA (software quality assurance), 244
  - technical writers, compensation, 266
  - unbundling software applications, 434
  - usability testing, 317–318
- IBM studies of
  - code inspections, and test schedules, 495
  - customer satisfaction, 302, 390
  - DDE efficiency, 74–76
  - defect data, 367–368
  - defect prevention, 119–125
  - defect rates and cyclomatic complexity, 298, 321
  - defect repair hours for field defects, 114–115
  - defect root-cause analysis, 429
  - distribution of customer defect reports, 404–409
  - DRE efficiency, 74–76
  - error-prone modules, 302
  - frequency distribution, customer-reported defects, 302
  - low-quality effects on productivity and schedules, 295
  - MVS customers, 390
  - pretest inspections, 484
  - software maintainability, 358–362
  - technical manuals, user opinions of, 266–267
  - test coverage, 321, 499–500
  - testing, effects on schedules, 484
  - training, productivity benefits, 477–478
- IEEE standards
  - 829-2008: software test documentation, 321
  - 1012-2004: software verification and validation, 321
- IFPUG (International Function Point Users Group), 46, 165
- Illegal revenue from software, 452
- Improvability, 11
- Independent testing, 314–315
- Independent verification and validation (IV&V), 205, 237–239
- Indirect revenue, 450–451
- Informal peer reviews, 209–210
- Information complexity, 152–153
- Information systems defects, litigation, 411–412
- Information Technology (IT), testing stages, 326
- Inputs
  - function point metrics, 165
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 45
  - requirements gathering, 217
- Inquiries, function point metrics, 165
- Inquiry types
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
  - requirements gathering, 218

- Inspections. *See* Formal inspections.
- Installation requirements, 47, 219
- Integration testing, 300, 325
- Intellectual property, 451
- Intellectual property violations, 452
- Interfaces
  - function point metrics, 165
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
  - requirements gathering, 218
- Internal software development
  - defining value of software quality, 19–21
  - economic consequences of low quality, 21
  - examples, 19
  - history of, 19–21
  - purposes of, 20
  - quality, *vs.* embedded software community, 21
  - value of high quality, 22–23
- Internal software users
  - common internal software types, 22
  - defining value of software quality, 22–24
  - economic consequences of low quality, 23
  - employment figures, United States mid-2010, 22
  - number of, 2011, 22
- Invalid defects, 282
- ISBSG (International Software Benchmark Standards Group), 138, 370
- IT (Information Technology), testing stages, 326
- Iterations, 136
- IV&V (independent verification and validation), 205, 237–239
- Jacobsen, Ivar, 59
- JAD (joint application design), 58
- Japan *vs.* United States. *See* United States *vs.* Japan.
- Jobs, Steve, 24
- Juran, Joseph, 146, 227
- Kaizen, 226–231
- King, Ada, 434
- Kinkaid, J. Peter, 211
- KLOC (thousand lines of code), 465–470. *See also* LOC (lines of code) metric.
- Knowledge risks, 182–183
- Kohli, Bob, 253
- Lab testing, 319–320
- Lack of defensive mechanisms, 93–94
- Langevin, James, 306
- Language levels
  - coding defects by, 70
  - description, 65–66
  - examples, 67
  - function point metrics, 167–168
  - origin of, 65
- Languages
  - life expectancy, 256
  - maintainability of software, 360–361
  - supported by static analysis, 186–187, 272–275
- Large business applications, defect prevention, 126
- Latency, static analysis, 268
- Latent defects
  - causes, 348
  - definition, 347
  - discovery by users, 362–363
  - IBM, 74–76, 347–348
- Lawsuits. *See* Litigation.
- Layered approach to measuring software quality, 77
- Legal quality, 11, 15
- Legal risks, 179
- Lines of code (LOC) metric. *See* LOC (lines of code) metric.
- Lint tool, 267–268
- Litigation
  - Airbus A380 wiring defects, 413–414
  - bad fixes, 286–287
  - barriers to, 381
  - breach of contract, 3, 382–384
  - cancellation of projects, 3, 464–465
  - change control problems, 382
  - client reviews of specifications, 235
  - against commercial software vendors, 381–384
  - costs, 384, 391
  - embedded software, 411

- end-user license agreements, 381
- estimate problems, 383
- examples, 410–412
- Hewlett Packard's algorithm for replacing ink cartridges, 409–410
- IBM antitrust investigation, 434
- inaccurate status checking, 383–384
- information systems defects, 411–412
- military and defense software, 411
- most common charges, 382–384
- outsourcing, 3
- provability, 10
- quality control problems, 382
- readability of legal documents (Florida), 212
- settling out of court, 391
- SQA measurement data, 245
- testing stages noted in, 331–332
- Therac-25 radiation therapy device, 412–413
- time required, 391
- Verizon billing algorithms, 410
- web defects, 411–412
- Litigation testing, 312
- LOC (lines of code) metric
  - case study, CHILL *vs.* C++, 105–109
  - economic problems, 105–110
  - flaws, 96, 105–109
  - function point metrics, 169
  - KLOC (thousand lines of code), 465–470
  - as professional malpractice, 105, 109
  - shortcomings, 39
  - SLOC (source lines of code), 167
- Localization testing, 315
- Logic bombs, 81
- Logical code statements *vs.* physical lines of code, 67–68
- Logical complexity, 153
- Logical files
  - function point metrics, 165
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
  - requirements gathering, 218
- Low quality, economic consequences of. *See also* Economic value of software quality, high/low levels.
  - commercial software vendors, 25–26
  - COTS users, 27–28
  - embedded equipment users, 31–32
  - embedded software companies, 29–30
  - internal software development, 21
  - internal software users, 23
  - on productivity and schedules, 295
- MacAfee antivirus bug, 4
- Maintainability of software. *See also* Post-release defects.
  - comments, clarity and completeness, 360
  - cyclomatic complexity, 359
  - design erosion, 82
  - entropy, 359
  - error-prone modules, 360
  - IBM study, 358–362
  - maintenance assignment scope, 358–359
  - maintenance tools and workbenches, 360
  - maintenance workloads, 360
  - measuring, 81–82
  - metrics, 358–359
  - naming and coding conventions, 82
  - positive influences, 359–361
  - programming languages, 360–361
  - rate of structural decay, 359
  - static analysis, 270
  - structural diagrams, 360
  - time requirements, 82
  - training for, 359
- Maintenance and support
  - assignment scope, 358–359
  - call center performance, 427
  - costs of software quality, 511–513
  - defect repair effort by activity, 429
  - defect repair schedule ranges, 428–429
  - defect repairs per month, 428
  - defect root-cause analysis, 429
  - economic value of software quality, 461–462, 511–513
  - incoming defect reports per client, 428
  - incoming defect reports per month, 427–428
  - maintenance, IBM definition, 511
  - maintenance assignment scope, 427
  - measurement issues, 425–431

- Maintenance and support (*cont.*)  
 planning, 374–375  
 post-release defect repair metrics,  
 427–429  
 profiting from, 375–376  
 staffing, 516–517  
 support ratios, 375  
 tools and workbenches, 360  
 types of maintenance work, 426–427  
 workloads, 360
- Maintenance assignment scope, 427
- Maintenance defect volumes, economic  
 value of software quality, 513–514
- Major tasks. *See* Use cases.
- Management information system (MIS),  
 testing stages, 326
- Manpower for bug fixes, 3–4
- Manual effort, economic value of  
 software, 455
- Manual testing, 293–294
- McCabe, Tom, 151–152
- Measurability, definition, 10
- Measurable software attributes, 77
- Measurement issues, maintenance,  
 425–431
- Measuring. *See also* Metrics.  
 maintainability, 81–82  
 security, 80–81
- Measuring economic value of software  
 commercial estimation tools, 436  
 financial and accounting methods, 436  
 overview, 435–436  
 potential future value, 436  
 risk factors, 437–441  
 value factors, 437–441
- Measuring software quality. *See also*  
 Economic value of software quality;  
 Metrics; *specific topics.*  
 bad fixes, 38  
 DDE (defect detection efficiency), 38  
 defect prevention, 37  
 DRE (defect removal efficiency), 38  
 key topics, 36–37  
 layered approach, 77  
 measurable software attributes, 77.  
*See also specific attributes.*  
 post-release defect removal costs, 38  
 post-release defects, 38  
 pre-release defect removal costs, 37  
 pretest defect removal, 37  
 software defect potentials, 37  
 test defect removal, 37
- Meetings, Agile development, 223
- Metrics. *See also* Measuring.  
 data mining, 167  
 function point cost drivers, 168–169  
 function point quality, 164–171  
 KLOC (thousand lines of code),  
 465–470  
 LOC (lines of code), 96, 105–110  
 maintainability of software,  
 358–359
- Metrics, cost-per-defect  
 abeyant defects, 366  
*vs.* cost per function point, 143–145  
 defect prevention, 142–145  
 economic analysis, 96–97, 110–115  
 flaws, 96–97, 110–115  
 function point counting rules, 167  
 good quality product, 111–114  
 invalid defect reports, 364  
 penalty for quality, 142–145  
 poor quality product, 111  
 quality *vs.* cost per defect, 112–115  
 used by IBM, 110  
 zero defect product, 114–115
- Metrics, function point quality  
 adjustment factors, 166–167  
 algorithms, extracting from code, 167  
 benchmark groups, 138  
 business rules, extracting from code, 167  
 cost drivers, 168–169  
 counting rules, 167  
 current average defect levels, 170  
 data mining, 167  
 defect prevention, 164–171  
 developed by IBM, 66  
 history of, 165  
 inputs, 165  
 inquiries, 165  
 interfaces, 165  
 language levels, 167–168  
 LOC (lines of code) metric, 169  
 logical files, 165  
 outputs, 165  
 overview, 164–171  
 SLOC (source lines of code), 167  
 sociological factors, 170

- software defect potentials, 39
- uses for, 169
- Military and defense software
  - defect prevention, 126
  - DoD productivity measures, 100
  - independent testing, 314–315
  - litigation, 411
  - standards, 139
  - testing stages, 329
- Military data, computer use in the
  - United States, 2
- Mills, Harlan, 312
- MIS (management information system),
  - testing stages, 326
- Mizuno, Shigeru, 62
- Mnemonic complexity, 153
- Moral elements of software quality, 17
- Mortgage record misplacement, 454
- Motion sequence method, 225–226
- MRI devices, embedded software, 31
- Multi-platform environments,
  - requirements generation and analysis, 49
- MVS customers, IBM studies of, 390
- Mythical Man Month, The*, 227, 247, 380
- Naming conventions, maintainability, 82
- Nationalization, 49, 315
- New function testing, 297–298, 325
- Nonaka, Ikuro, 222
- Nonfunctional quality, static
  - analysis, 268
- Nonfunctional testing, 293
- Occupation groups, computer use, 6
- Open door policy, IBM, 481
- Open source software development
  - competition for COTS, 27
  - testing stages, 327
- Organizational complexity, 153
- Outputs
  - function point metrics, 165
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 45
  - requirements gathering, 217
- Outsource vendors, testing stages, 327
- Outsourcing, breach of contract
  - litigation, 3
- Pacemakers, embedded software, 31
- Page, Larry, 24
- Pair programming, 231–234
- Patent violations, 452
- Patents, 451
- Patterns
  - defect prevention, 132–133
  - static analysis, 275–276
- PBX project case study, 105–109
- Peer reviews, 209–210
- Peer reviews, pretest defect removal
  - effort and costs, 201
  - per 1,000 function points, 198
- Penetration teams, 309
- Penetration testing, 308–309
- Perceptual complexity, 153
- Performance by Design, 80
- Performance criteria
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 47
  - requirements gathering, 219
- Performance efficiency
  - measuring, 79–80
  - Performance by Design, 80
  - static analysis, 269–270
- Performance testing, 304
- Personal computer use, United States
  - circa 2011, 5
- Personal data, United States
  - distribution of, 2
  - organizations tracking, 7
- Personal desk checking. *See* Desk checking.
- Phase reviews, 246–248
- Phases, software development, 246–248
- Phishing, 452
- Physical lines of code *vs.* logical code
  - statements, 67–68
- Piracy, 452
- Platform testing, 310
- Poka yoke
  - contact method, 225
  - corrective procedures, 225–226
  - fixed-value method, 225
  - motion sequence method, 225–226
  - name origin, 224
  - pretest defect removal, 224–226
- Poppendieck, Tom, 227
- Population of the United States, 2–3

- Post-release defect potentials. *See also*
  - Software defect potentials.
  - defects found in first year of use, 400, 402–403
  - delivered defects, by project type and size, 398–399
  - delivered defects, per function point, 399
  - DRE, by project type and size, 397
  - error-prone modules, 404–409
  - by project type and size, 395–396
  - Severity 1 and 2, first year of use, 405–406
- Post-release defect repairs
  - call center performance, 427
  - case studies, 379–381
  - costs, 388–392, 424–425
  - customer logistics, 416–425
  - defect repair effort by activity, 429
  - defect repair schedule ranges, 428–429
  - defect repairs per month, 428
  - defect root-cause analysis, 429
  - effort by activity, 429
  - incidents per month, 428
  - incoming defect reports per client, 428
  - incoming defect reports per month, 427–428
  - large applications, 389–392
  - maintenance assignment scope, 427
  - major variables, 392
  - measurement issues, 425–431
  - measuring software quality, 38
  - medium applications, 388
  - metrics, 427–429
  - personnel for, 378–381, 385–392
  - post-release defect repair metrics, 427–429
  - schedule ranges, 428–429
  - small applications, 387–388
  - software occupation groups involved, 385–392
  - types of maintenance work, 426–427
  - variables affecting, 424–425
- Post-release defect reports
  - APAR (authorized program analysis report), 371
  - cloud software, 376
  - commercial software, 377–378
  - customer logistics, 416–425
  - defect context information, 372
  - distribution of effort, large
    - application, 421–423
  - distribution of effort, small
    - application, 418–419
  - effort, by severity level, 423–424
  - embedded software, 377
  - FAQs (frequently asked questions), 378
  - internal software, 376
  - key issues, 424
  - maintenance information, 372–373
  - military and defense software, 377
  - open source software, 377
  - outsource software, 376–377
  - per client, 428
  - per month, 427–428
  - proprietary information, 373–374
  - PTM (program trouble memorandum), 371
  - public information, 371–372
  - SaaS (Software as a Service), 376
  - Severity 2 defects, 416–417
- Post-release defect severity levels
  - critical violations, 352–358
  - IBM method, 349–351
  - rule checkers, 352
  - static analysis, 352
  - structural quality perspective, 351–358
- Post-release defects. *See also*
  - Maintainability of software.
  - abeyant defects, 365–366
  - across multiple releases, 370
  - costs, 409–414
  - DDE, measuring, 368–370
  - DRE, measuring, 368–370
  - duplicate reports, 366–367
  - economic value of software quality, 507–509
  - factors influencing, 348–349
  - in first 90 days of use, 350
  - first-year discovery rates, 367–368
  - invalid defect reports, 363–365
  - orthogonal defect reporting, 349–350
  - as possible enhancements, 350
  - security flaws, 414–415

- service pack repairs, 349
- undocumented features, 364–365
- valid unique defects, 370
- Potential defects. *See* Defect prevention, defect potentials; Post-release defect potentials; Requirements, software defect potentials; Software defect potentials.
- Potential future value, economic value of software, 436
- Predetermined criteria, 289
- Predictability, 10
- Predicting. *See* Estimating.
- Pretest defect removal. *See also* DRE (defect removal efficiency).
  - measuring software quality, 37
  - overview, 191–196
  - United States *vs.* Japan, 227–231
- Pretest defect removal, large projects
  - defect potentials per 10,000 function points, 202–203
  - high-quality *vs.* low-quality, 204–207
  - removal methods, 203, 204–205, 207. *See also specific methods.*
  - vs.* small projects, 201–202
- Pretest defect removal, small projects
  - defect potentials, by function points, 196–197
  - effort and costs, 199–202
  - overview, 196–197
  - problems, 199
  - removal methods, 198. *See also specific methods.*
- Pretest defect removal methods. *See also* Formal inspections; *specific methods.*
  - automated static analysis of source code, 267–276
  - automated text checking for documents, 211–219
  - client reviews of specifications, 235–237
  - desk checking, 208–209
  - editing user documentation, 265–267
  - informal peer reviews, 209–210
  - IV&V (independent verification and validation), 237–239
  - Kaizen, 226–231
  - large projects, 203–207
  - pair programming, 231–234
  - phase reviews, 246–248
  - poka yoke, 224–226
  - proof reading user documentation, 265–267
  - proofs of correctness, 220–222
  - readability of text documents, 211–219
  - scrums, 222–224
  - small projects, 198
  - SQA reviews, 239–246
- Pretest inspections, 484
- Principle of least authority, 306
- Priven, Lew, 120, 253–254
- Problem complexity, 153
- Process complexity, 154
- Process quality, 11–14
- Processing limits, failure to set, 90
- Product innovation, economic value of software quality, 463–465
- Productivity
  - DoD measures, 100
  - economic value of software quality, 473–474
  - effects of low quality, 295
  - effects of training, 477–478
  - rates, developing, 486–487
- Productivity, economic value of software quality, 473–474
- Professional testers, economic value of software quality, 500–501
- Program trouble memorandum (PTM), 371
- Programming languages. *See* Languages.
- Programs. *See* Applications.
- Project cancellation. *See* Cancellation of projects.
- Project distribution, by quality level, 538–540
- Project management, effects on economic value of software quality, 480–481
- Proof reading user documentation, 265–267
- Proofs of correctness, 220–222
- Prototyping
  - appropriate use of, 61
  - disposable, 61
  - evolutionary, 61
  - minimizing requirements defects, 60–61
  - time-box, 61

- Provability, 10  
 PSP/TSP unit test, 295–296  
 PTM (program trouble memorandum), 371
- QFD (Quality Function Deployment)  
 defect prevention, 174–177  
 DRE (defect removal efficiency), 176  
 minimizing requirements  
 defects, 62  
 overview, 174–177
- Quality. *See* Software quality.
- Quality assurance inspections, 249
- Quality circles, 230
- Quality control methods, effects on  
 economic value of software quality,  
 481–484
- Quality control problems,  
 litigation, 382
- Quality Is Free*, 146, 243
- Quality levels. *See also* High quality;  
 Low quality.  
 costs by, 4  
 requirements, software defect  
 potentials, 47  
 requirements gathering, 218
- Quality risks, 178–179
- Quantifying. *See also* Measuring.  
 business value, 470–475  
 DRE (defect removal efficiency), 122  
 function point totals, 66  
 language levels, 65–66  
 results of formal inspections, 122  
 software defect potentials, 39
- Quantitative data, 279–282
- Radice, Ron, 120, 253
- Rational Unified Process (RUP),  
 antagonisms, 127, 136
- Readability of legal documents  
 (Florida), 212
- Readability of text documents  
 automated checking, 211–219  
 Flesch index, 211–213  
 grade-level scores, 212  
 Gunning Fog index, 212–213  
 patterns of taxonomy, 216–217  
 requirements gathering topics,  
 217–219  
 standard taxonomies, 213–216
- Regression testing  
 distribution in U.S. software  
 projects, 325  
 DRE (defect removal efficiency), 291  
 overview, 299
- Release numbering, IBM system for,  
 74–76
- Reliability  
 economic value of software quality,  
 510–511  
 measuring, 78–79  
 static analysis, 269  
 of testing, 44
- Reporting defects. *See also* Post-release  
 defect reports.  
 APAR (authorized program analysis  
 report), 371  
 customer defect reports, IBM studies,  
 404–409  
 PTM (program trouble  
 memorandum), 371  
 SOAR (Software Security State of the  
 Art Report), 306
- Requirements  
 ambiguity, 51–52  
 bypassing, 52  
 changing  
 defect rates, 54  
 for commercial software, 55  
 completeness, 52–55  
 components of, 52  
 defect prevention, 128  
 defect rates, 54  
 deliverables, 63  
 developer-originated features, 52  
 for embedded applications, 55  
 feature bloat, 55  
 feature races, 55  
 freezing, 54  
 for individual inventors, 55  
 inspections, pretest defect removal, 204  
 origins of, 50–52  
 requirements creep, 59  
 schedules *vs.* growth rate, 53  
 size, 52–55  
 software defect potentials, 40  
 standard outline, 56–58  
 structure, 52–55  
 values of, 50–52

- Requirements, gathering
  - algorithms, 218
  - application size, 218
  - control flow, 219
  - COQ (Cost of Quality), 219
  - critical business topics, 219
  - development cost, 218
  - for documents, 217–219
  - entities and relationships, 218
  - financial value of the
    - application, 219
  - hardware platforms, 218
  - inputs, 217
  - inquiry types, 218
  - installation requirements, 219
  - interfaces, 218
  - logical files, 218
  - outputs, 217
  - performance criteria, 219
  - quality levels, 218
  - reuse criteria, 219
  - risk assessment, 219
  - ROI (Return on Investment), 219
  - schedules, 218
  - security criteria, 218
  - software platforms, 218
  - TCO (total cost of ownership), 219
  - training requirements, 219
  - use cases, 219
  - work value of the application, 219
- Requirements, minimizing defects
  - embedding users, 58–59
  - formal inspections, 62–64
  - JAD (joint application design), 58
  - least/most effective techniques,
    - 64–65
  - prototyping, 60–61
  - QFD (Quality Function Deployment), 62
  - sprints, 58–59
  - standardizing outlines, 56–58
  - use cases, 59–60
  - user stories, 60
- Requirements, software defect
  - potentials. *See also* Software defect potentials.
  - algorithms, 46
  - application size, 46
  - business rules, 46
  - conformance to requirements, 45
  - control flow, 47
  - costs, 47
  - current U.S. average, 45
  - electronic voting machine
    - problems, 45
  - entities and relationships, 46
  - gathering process, 45–47
  - hardware platforms, 47
  - inputs, 45
  - inquiry types, 46
  - installation requirements, 47
  - interfaces, 46
  - logical files, 46
  - major tasks, 47. *See also* Use cases.
  - outputs, 45
  - performance criteria, 47
  - quality levels, 47
  - reuse criteria, 47
  - schedules, 46
  - security criteria, 47
  - software platforms, 47
  - summary of, 40
  - toxic requirements, 45
  - training requirements, 47
  - use cases, 47
  - Y2K problem, 45
- Requirements creep, 59
- Requirements generation and analysis
  - tool, features and functions
    - algorithms, extracting from legacy code, 47
    - analyzing similar applications, 49
    - automatic application sizing, 48
    - automatic test case definition, 48
    - business rules, extracting from legacy code, 47
    - dynamic aspects of applications, 48
    - forensic analysis of legacy code, 47
    - handling growth over time,
      - 48–49
    - identifying common generic features, 48
    - multi-platform environments, 49
    - nationalization, 49
    - security protection, 49–50
- Return on Investment (ROI). *See* ROI (Return on Investment).

- Reuse criteria
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 47
  - requirements gathering, 219
- Revenue generation, economic value of
  - software
    - contract revenue, 450
    - direct revenue, existing clients, 450
    - direct revenue, new clients, 450
    - hardware drag-along revenue, 451
    - hardware revenue, 451
    - illegal, 452
    - indirect revenue, 450–451
    - intellectual property, 451
    - intellectual property violations, 452
    - patent violations, 452
    - patents, 451
    - phishing, 452
    - piracy, 452
    - software books and journals, 452
    - software drag-along revenue, 451
    - software personnel agencies, 452
    - teaching software skills, 451–452
    - theft of vital records, 452
- Reverse appraisals, 481
- Risk analysis
  - by application size, 177–178
  - business, 181
  - by category, 178–183
  - defect prevention, 177–184
  - economic value of software, 437–441
  - economic value of software quality, 471–472
  - ethical, 182
  - external, 181
  - factors affecting software quality, 437–441
  - financial, 180
  - health and safety, 178
  - knowledge, 182–183
  - legal, 179
  - overlapping topics, 183–184
  - overview, 177–184
  - quality, 178–179
  - requirements gathering, 219
  - security, 178
  - by severity, 178–183
  - social, 181
  - traditional software, 179–180
- Robo calls, 458
- ROI (Return on Investment)
  - economic value of software quality, 536–537
  - requirements gathering, 219
- Root causes complexity, 155
- Rule checkers, 352
- Rules libraries, 187
- RUP (Rational Unified Process),
  - antagonisms, 127, 136
- Ruskin, John, 17
- Safety risks, 178
- Scenarios. *See* Use cases.
- Schedules
  - code inspections, effects of, 495
  - economic value of software quality, 484
  - vs.* growth rate, 53
  - low-quality effects on, 295
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
  - requirements gathering, 218
  - testing, effects of, 484
- Schwaber, Jeff, 222
- Scrums
  - in Agile development, 222–224
  - word origin, 222
- Scrums, pretest defect removal
  - effort and costs, 200
  - overview, 222–224
  - per 1,000 function points, 198
- Security. *See also* Cyber attacks.
  - COQ (Cost of Quality), 415
  - COS (cost of security), 415
  - criteria requirements, software defect potentials, 47
  - CSIRT (Computer Security Incident Response Team), 415
  - Department of Homeland Security, 306
  - FBI, 305
  - infections, analogy to medical infections, 306
  - logic bombs, 81
  - measuring, 80–81
  - penetration teams, 309
  - penetration testing, 308–309

- principle of least authority, 306
- requirements gathering, 218
- requirements generation and analysis, 49–50
- risk assessment, 178
- static analysis, 270
- viral protection testing, 304–308
- weaknesses, 92–93
- Security testing, 309
- SEI (Software Engineering Institute), 139
- Semantic complexity, 154
- Service Oriented Architecture (SOA)
  - testing, 313–314
- Service quality
  - definition, 11
  - importance ranking, 14–15
- Settling litigation out of court, 391
- Severe defects per function point, 509–510
- Severity levels
  - IBM, 281–282
  - testing, 281–282
- Severity levels, post-release defects
  - critical violations, 352–358
  - IBM method, 349–351
  - rule checkers, 352
  - static analysis, 352
  - structural quality perspective, 351–358
- “Silver bullet” approach, 227
- Six Sigma, 184–185
- Size of applications
  - automatic sizing, 48
  - cost of software, 4
  - defect prevention, 133–135
  - estimating from requirements, 52–55
  - measuring, 83
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 46
  - requirements gathering, 218
  - risk analysis, 177–178
  - software defect potentials, 43
  - static analysis, 270–271
  - testing stages, 329–331
- SLOC (source lines of code), 167. *See also* LOC (lines of code) metric.
- Small business applications, defect prevention, 126
- Smart-phone applets, testing
  - stages, 326
- SOA (Service Oriented Architecture)
  - testing, 313–314
- SOAR (Software Security State of the Art Report), 306
- Social interaction among workers, 231
- Social networks, economic value of software, 460
- Social risks, 181
- Sociological factors, function point metrics, 170
- Software. *See also* Applications.
  - drag-along revenue, 451
  - as a marketed commodity, 462
  - testing definition, 288
  - value of. *See* Economic value of software.
- Software Assessments...and Best Practices*, 40
- Software Assurance (SwA), 306
- Software books and journals,
  - publishing, 452
- Software companies
  - copy cats, 24–25
  - currently, United States, 24
  - fast followers, 24–25
- Software defect potentials
  - by application size, 43
  - architectural defects, 41
  - coding defects, 41
  - database defects, 42
  - definition, 37
  - design defects, 41
  - economic value of software quality, 501–503
  - estimating, 115–116
  - factors affecting, 44–45
  - function point metrics, 39
  - by function points, 43
  - measuring software quality, 37
  - origin of term, 37
  - overview, 39–40
  - post-release. *See* Post-release defect potentials.
  - quantifying, 39
  - requirements defects, 40. *See also* Requirements, software defect potentials.

- Software defect potentials (*cont.*)
  - sources of, 37, 40–43
  - test case defects, 42
  - test plan defects, 42
  - United States *vs.* Japan, 228–229
  - user documentation defects, 42
  - website defects, 43
- Software development
  - costs, 487–489
  - economic value of software quality, 461–462
  - effort, 486
- Software Engineering Best Practices*, 40
- Software Engineering Institute (SEI), 139
- Software enhancements, 514–516
- Software industry
  - analogy to automobile industry, 446–447
  - criteria for, 433
  - embedded software, 2
  - EULA (end-user license agreement), 453
  - vs.* other industries, 453–454
  - software companies, 2
  - software distribution, 453–454
- Software personnel agencies, 452
- Software platforms
  - requirements, software defect potentials, 47
  - requirements gathering, 218
- Software quality. *See also* Measuring software quality.
  - effects on individuals, 4–5
  - importance of, 1–8
  - static analysis, 268
  - vs.* testing, 10
- Software quality, defining
  - contextual definitions, 9
  - criteria for, 10–11. *See also specific criteria.*
  - focus areas, 11. *See also specific areas.*
  - overview, 8–9
  - quality attributes, 9. *See also specific attributes.*
  - quality types, 11. *See also specific types.*
- Software quality, factors. *See also specific factors.*
  - aesthetic quality, 11, 15
  - average quality, 16
  - economic value, 16–17
  - high quality, 17
  - influence of, 16
  - legal quality, 11, 15
  - low quality, 17
  - most effective, 16
  - process quality, 11, 12–14
  - service quality, 11, 14–15
  - standards quality, 11, 15
  - technical quality, 11–12
  - usage quality, 11, 14
- Software quality assurance (SQA). *See* SQA (software quality assurance).
- Software requirements. *See* Requirements.
- Software Security State of the Art Report (SOAR), 306
- Source code volume, determining, 67–69
- Source lines of code (SLOC), 167
- Specialized testing
  - cost and effort, estimating, 339
  - estimating test case volume, 333–334
  - forms of, 303–316. *See also specific forms.*
  - frequency of use, 289–290
  - overview, 284–285
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336–337
- Sprints
  - Agile development, 223
  - definition, 136
  - minimizing requirements defects, 58–59
- SQA (software quality assurance)
  - best practices, 244
  - customer satisfaction measurement, 245
  - definition, 240
  - at IBM, 244
  - key classes of software products, 243
  - in large organizations, 244
  - measurement data, 244–245
  - measurement data in litigation, 245
  - organizational placement, 243
  - reviews, pretest defect removal, 205, 206, 239–246
  - staffing levels, 241–243
  - vs.* testing, 243

- Staffing, economic value of software quality, 484–486, 516–517
- Standardizing
  - requirements outlines, 56–58
  - taxonomies, 213–216
- Standards. *See also specific standards.*
  - vs.* best practices, 174
  - defect prevention, 171–174
  - organizations, 171–174
  - software defect potentials, 172–174
  - test plan contents, 321
- Standards quality
  - definition, 11
  - importance ranking, 15
- Stand-ups, 223
- Static analysis
  - in conjunction with inspections, 257–258
  - defect prevention, 185–188
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 186
  - languages supported by, 186–187
  - overview, 185–188
  - rules libraries, 187
  - severity levels, post-release defects, 352
  - tools for, 185–188
- Static analysis, pretest defect removal effort and costs, 201
  - per 1,000 function points, 198
  - per 10,000 function points, 205
- Static analysis of source code,
  - automating
  - availability, 268
  - current usage, 271–272
  - functional quality, 268
  - future additions, 276
  - languages supported, 272–275
  - latency, 268
  - Lint tool, 267–268
  - maintainability, 270
  - nonfunctional quality, 268
  - performance efficiency, 269–270
  - reliability, 269
  - security, 270
  - size, 270–271
  - software product quality, 268
  - structural characteristics, 269–271
  - structural quality, 268–269
  - tools, issues with, 272
  - usage patterns, 275–276
  - weaknesses, 271
- Status checking, litigation, 383–384
- Stewart, Roger, 120, 253–254
- Stress testing, 303
- Structural decay, maintainability of software, 359
- Structural diagrams, maintainability of software, 360
- Structural quality
  - definition, 11
  - effects on economic value of software quality, 476–477
  - severity levels, post-release defects, 351–358
  - static analysis, 268–269
- Structural quality, measurement
  - examples
    - application resource imbalances, 91–92
    - bypassing the architecture, 88–90
    - failure to set processing limits, 90
    - lack of defensive mechanisms, 93–94
    - security weaknesses, 92–93
- Structural quality, measuring
  - layered approach, 77. *See also specific attributes.*
  - maintainability, 81–82
  - measurable software attributes, 77
  - overview, 77
  - performance efficiency, 79–80
  - reliability, 78–79
  - security, 80–81
  - size, 83
  - summary of measurable attributes, 83–85
  - system requirements for, 95
- Subroutine testing
  - definition, 294
  - distribution in U.S. software projects, 325
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 290–291
  - overview, 294–295
- Supply chain testing, 311
- Support. *See* Maintenance and support.
- Sutherland, Ken, 222

- SwA (Software Assurance), 306
- Synergistic defect prevention, 125–127
- Syntactic complexity, 154
- System test
  - definition, 285
  - distribution in U.S. software projects, 325
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 291
  - lab testing, 301–302
  - overview, 301–302
- Systems software, testing stages, 328–329
  
- Tagging and releasing defects, 264
- Takeuchi, Hirotaka, 222
- Tangible value of applications, 535–536
- Taxonomies
  - patterns of, 216–217
  - standardizing, 213–216
- TCO (total cost of ownership)
  - economic value of software quality, 520–529
  - requirements gathering, 219
- TDD (test-driven development), 322
- Team Software Process (TSP), antagonisms, 127, 136
- Technical debt, 465–470
- Technical manuals. *See* User documentation.
- Technical quality
  - definition, 11
  - importance ranking, 11–12
- Technical software, defect prevention, 126
- Technology investment, effects on economic value of software quality, 479
- Test cases. *See also* Use cases.
  - automatic definition, 48
  - defect prevention, 130
  - design methods, 321–323
  - economic value of software quality, 497–498
  - errors in, 323–324
  - estimating volume, 332–335
  - limitations of combinatorial complexity, 335
  - software defect potentials, 42
- TDD (test-driven development), 322
  - test coverage, 321–322
- Test coverage
  - defect prevention, 120
  - economic value of software quality, 498–500
  - IBM studies of, 321
  - test cases, 321–322
- Test defect removal, 37
- Test planning. *See also* Test cases.
  - IEEE guidelines, 321
  - overview, 320–321
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 335–337
- Test plans
  - defect prevention, 130
  - software defect potentials, 42
  - standard for contents, 321
- Test stages
  - economic value of software quality, 494–496
  - performance by occupation group, 343
- Test-driven development (TDD), 322
- Testing
  - Agile, 317
  - Alpha, 318
  - automated, 293–294
  - black box, 291–293
  - capacity, 303
  - case study, 316
  - clean room, 311–312
  - cloud, 313
  - cost and effort, estimating, 337–342
  - cumulative DRE (defect removal efficiency), 291
  - defect prevention, 121
  - definition, 287–288
  - by developers *vs.* professional testers, 342–344
  - effects on IBM schedules, 484
  - efficiency of, 75
  - execution, definition, 288
  - field Beta, 318–319
  - functional, 290–291, 293
  - Gamma, 318
  - independent, 314–315
  - integration, 300, 325
  - invalid defects, 282

- lab, 319–320
  - late start, early ending, 248
  - litigation, 312
  - localization, 315
  - manual, 293–294
  - nationalization, 315
  - new function, 297–298, 325
  - nonfunctional, 293
  - penetration testing, 308–309
    - as a percent of development, 496–497
  - performance, 304
  - platform, 310
  - predetermined criteria, 289
  - pretest defect removal, 37, 76
  - quantitative data, 279–282
  - regression, 299
  - reliability of, 44
  - security, 309
  - severity levels, 281–282
  - SOA (Service Oriented Architecture), 313–314
  - software, definition, 288
  - vs.* software quality, 10
  - vs.* SQA (software quality assurance), 243
  - stress, 303
  - supply chain, 311
  - test defect removal, 37, 76
  - unit test, 285
  - usability, 317–318
  - viral protection, 304–308
  - white box, 291–293
- Testing, automatic
- cost and effort, estimating, 338–339
  - estimating test case volume, 333
  - frequency of use, 289
  - overview, 284
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336
- Testing, general
- cost and effort, estimating, 338
  - estimating test case volume, 333
  - forms of, 294–302. *See also specific forms.*
  - frequency of use, 289
  - overview, 283–284
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336
- Testing, regression
- distribution in U.S. software projects, 325
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 291
- Testing, specialized
- cost and effort, estimating, 339
  - estimating test case volume, 333–334
  - forms of, 303–316. *See also specific forms.*
  - frequency of use, 289–290
  - overview, 284–285
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336–337
- Testing, subroutine
- definition, 294
  - distribution in U.S. software projects, 325
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 290–291
  - overview, 294–295
- Testing, system test
- definition, 285
  - distribution in U.S. software projects, 325
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 291
  - lab testing, 301–302
  - overview, 301–302
- Testing, unit test
- distribution in U.S. software projects, 325
  - DRE (defect removal efficiency), 290–291
  - overview, 296–297
  - PSP/TSP unit test, 295–296
  - XP (extreme programming) unit test, 296
- Testing, user
- cost and effort, estimating, 339–340
  - estimating test case volume, 334
  - forms of, 316–321. *See also specific forms.*
  - frequency of use, 290
  - overview, 285
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336–337

- Testing stages. *See also specific stages.*  
distribution in U.S. software projects,  
324–325  
DRE (defect removal efficiency), 341  
frequency of use, 289–290  
most common, 325  
noted in litigation, 331–332  
overview, 283–287
- Testing stages, pattern variation by  
commercial software, 327–328  
computer gaming, 327  
end-user software, 325–326  
industry, 325–329  
IT (Information Technology), 326  
military and defense software, 329  
MIS (management information  
system), 326  
open source software  
development, 327  
outsource vendors, 327  
size of application, 329–331  
smart-phone applets, 326  
systems software, 328–329  
type of software, 325–329  
web applications, 326
- Text checking documents, automated,  
211–219
- Theft of vital records, 452
- Therac-25 radiation therapy device,  
litigation, 412–413
- Thousand lines of code (KLOC),  
465–470
- Time-box prototyping, 61
- Tools  
commercial project estimation, 436  
effects on economic value of software  
quality, 481–484  
measuring defects, 157–158  
requirements generation and analysis.  
*See* Requirements generation and  
analysis tool.  
static analysis, 185–188, 272  
tracking defects, 157–158
- Topologic complexity, 154
- Total cost of ownership (TCO). *See* TCO  
(total cost of ownership).
- Total defect removal, economic  
value of software quality,  
505–507
- Toxic requirements, 45
- Tracking defects, 156–158
- Traditional software risks,  
179–180
- Training  
economic value of software, 457  
effects on economic value of software  
quality, 477–478  
maintainability of software, 359  
requirements, 47, 219  
software skills, 451–452
- TSP (Team Software Process),  
antagonisms, 127, 136
- Unbundling IBM software  
applications, 434
- Unit test  
Agile methods, 285  
distribution in U.S. software  
projects, 325  
DRE (defect removal efficiency),  
290–291  
overview, 296–297  
PSP/TSP unit test, 295–296  
XP (extreme programming) unit  
test, 296
- United States *vs.* Japan  
DRE (defect removal efficiency),  
228–229  
Kaizen, 227–231  
poka yoke, 224–226  
pretest defect removal, 227–231  
quality circles, 230  
social interaction among  
workers, 231  
software defect potentials,  
228–229
- Usability testing, 317–318. *See also* User  
testing.
- Usage quality  
definition, 11  
importance ranking, 14
- Use cases. *See also* Test cases.  
minimizing requirements defects,  
59–60  
requirements, software defect  
potentials, 47  
requirements gathering, 219
- Useful life of applications, 529–535

- User documentation
  - defect prevention, 131
  - IBM studies of user opinions, 266–267
  - software defect potentials, 42
- User stories, minimizing requirements defects, 60. *See also* Use cases.
- User testing. *See also* Usability testing.
  - cost and effort, estimating, 339–340
  - estimating test case volume, 334
  - forms of, 316–321. *See also specific forms.*
  - frequency of use, 290
  - overview, 285
  - personnel requirements, estimating, 336–337
- Value factors, measuring economic value of software, 437–441
- Value of software quality. *See* Costs, of software quality; Economic value of software quality.
- Verizon
  - billing algorithm litigation, 410
  - defect reporting, example, 416–417
- Viral protection testing, 304–308
- Voice of the customer, 62
- Waterfall development, 246
- Watson, Thomas J., 390
- Weaknesses, static analysis, 271
- Web applications, testing
  - stages, 326
- Website defects
  - litigation, 411–412
  - preventing, 131–132
  - software defect potentials, 43
- Weinberg, Gerald, 231, 253
- White box testing, 291–293
- Wieggers, Karl, 253
- Work value of applications, 219
- XP (extreme programming) unit test, 296
- Y2K problem, 45
- Zero-defect products, cost-per-defect metric, 114–115